Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)

Fiscal Year 2019
The Port Security Grant Program (PSGP) is one of four funded grant programs within the Transportation Infrastructure Security Branch:
FY2019 Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)

Program Overview

| Purpose: PSGP provides funds for transportation infrastructure security activities to implement Area Maritime Transportation Security Plans and public/private facility security plans among port authorities, facility operators, and state and local government agencies required to provide port security services |
| Eligibility: Ports with Maritime Transportation Security Administration (MTSA) regulatory requirements will be funded based on risk and competitive project review |

| Program Highlights |
| Program funding is fully competitive (typically funding ~380 of over 1100 projects received) |
| Eligible applicants apply directly to FEMA for funding within their local Port Area |

**National Priorities:**
- Enhancing the protection of soft targets
- Enhancing weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and improvised explosive device (IED) prevention, detection, response, and recovery capabilities
- Enhancing cybersecurity capabilities
- Addressing emergent threats, such as unmanned aerial systems (UAS)

**Enduring Needs:**
- Effective planning
- Training and awareness campaigns
- Equipment and capital projects
- Exercises

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Changes

- Implemented in 2018, continued in 2019: 50% Cost share required of private entities
  - Exception for projects that provide port-wide benefit, such as port-wide planning, security camera systems with shared access, response vessels and other maritime domain awareness systems

- Program priorities aligned with DHS priorities – project types funded in past rounds are still eligible for funding in 2019

- Grant guidance is now split into 2 parts:
  - Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) indicates administrative requirements for submitting an application
  - Preparedness Grant Manual (PGM) provides program specific guidance, such as limitations of CBRNE and UAS capabilities, etc.
FY 2019 PSGP Timeline

*Note: NOFO release and award announcement timelines are Congressionally mandated.*
Project Planning

- 46 U.S.C. §70107 … funding the correction of Coast Guard identified vulnerabilities in port security and ensuring compliance with Area Maritime Transportation Security Plans and facility security plans…

- Participate in your Area Maritime Security Committee (AMSC) meetings and understand your port area priorities!!

- As a best practice, discuss and articulate your projects with the AMSC and/or PSS prior to applying

- Read the NOFO and PGM to verify that you and your project are eligible!

- Develop a business plan
  - Identify grant team: Project manager, grant manager, budget analyst
Application Requirements

- Read the NOFO – it will include application requirements!
- Register in Grants.gov immediately – takes up to 4 weeks, especially near application deadlines. Application period might only last 4-6 weeks depending on the program
- Organizations must have a DUNS Number, active SAM registration, and Grants.gov account to apply for grants
  - Applicants must enter the DUNS number in the data entry field labeled "Organizational DUNS" on the SF-424 form
  - In addition to having a DUNS number, all organizations applying online through Grants.gov must register with the System for Award Management (SAM)
    - Failure to register with SAM will prevent your organization from applying through Grants.gov
    - SAM registration must be renewed annually
Application Requirements (continued)

- Initial application is submitted in Grants.gov (do not attach IJ and detailed budget)
  - This generates the corresponding application in NDGrants!!
  - Do this at 7 or more days prior to the application deadline to minimize delays
- Associate your application to your organization. If you are a new applicant, you may have to create the organization in NDGrants – make sure you have an active account!!
- FEMA Releases your NDGrants application back to you
- Attach you investment justification, **detailed budget worksheet**, applicable MOU/ MOA and supporting documentation
  - Assurances and certifications required
  - Investment Justifications should be labeled as Sensitive Security Information (SSI)
  - NOTE: Letters of support from Congressmen, Senate, etc. are not considered nor passed to USCG for review. There is no added benefit to including letters of support
- MUST Submit final application via NDGrants
- DON’T BE LATE!! Applications submitted after the deadline will not be considered
Application Review

- All eligible applications receive an initial review by FEMA to ensure that they are completed in accordance with the application requirements
  - Include detailed budget worksheet and IJ
  - Submitted on time
  - **FEMA will not notify applicants of incomplete applications during the application period**

- All completed/eligible applications are securely transmitted to USCG

- USCG conducts a field review to score and recommend projects based on:
  - Whether the applicant is an eligible service provider or facility within the port area
  - The effectiveness of the project in reducing COTP identified vulnerabilities and PSGP priorities (note that city-wide projects may be denied in part or full)
  - Whether it is submitted by a public sector entity or provides a port-wide benefit (these will receive a 10% score boost)

- Projects may be reduced or denied due to ineligible and/or unjustified costs
Field Review scores are then provided to FEMA
- Projects that are not recommended by the Field Review will not be considered for funding
  - Prior discussions within AMSC may give you a feel for how well your project addresses port area and program priorities
  - Unfamiliar project may not be funded due to the short field review time and the extensive process for determining maritime security needs
- FEMA hosts a National Review Panel to:
  - Validate COTP recommendations; and
  - Evaluate whether projects address the National Priorities. Those that do will receive an additional 10% score boost
Application Review (continued)

- FEMA conducts an administrative review of all projects recommended for funding by the field review to:
  - Ensure cost share is included
  - Ensure project costs are eligible under PSGP

- May reduce funding by eliminating ineligible costs
  - NOTE: If we can’t figure it out, we reserve the right to deny the project!!

- FEMA applies the DHS Risk formula based on port area. Scores generated determine port area by ranking projects based on Risk x Effectiveness. Funds applied to highest ranked projects within each port area until expended. May limit port area funding (typically 150% of risk score) to ensure broadest distribution of funds

- Based on the Field Review, NRP, Admin Review, and ranked in funding categories by their risk and effectiveness scores, a funding decision is recommended to the Secretary of Homeland Security, who makes the final funding determination
Examples of Funded Projects

- **Rapid Response Boats:**
  - High speed, 24/7 patrol boats critical for quick response to waterways or other maritime infrastructure

- **Equipment:**
  - All life safety operations including fire suppression, evacuations, rescue of victims, dewatering, mass decontamination, swift transport of first responders to a waterborne or waterfront incident, and removal of victims from a vessel in distress

- **Training and Exercises:**
  - Live situational exercises involving various threat and disaster scenarios, table top exercises, and the debriefing of the exercises to continually improve utilization of plans and equipment procured with grant funding

- **Expansion and hardening of TWIC compliant access control:**
  - Installation of TWIC card and secure vehicle barriers, for activation during times of heightened security measures
  - Hardening of secondary access points to the Port, to include the addition of reinforced gates used to prevent un-authorized vehicles from accessing the perimeter of the Port
Examples of Projects **NOT** Funded

- Equipment or services not listed on the Authorized Equipment List as eligible under PSGP
- Equipment or services listed as unallowable costs identified by the NOFO
  - Commonly include tow vehicles, weapon related equipment, proof of concept projects, hospitality projects (chairs, couches), etc.
- Equipment or services that do no support COTP priorities or PSGP priorities
- Equipment or services with no clear maritime security nexus
- Projects that do not include an eligible cost share (see 2 CFR 200.306)
  - Particularly section (3) Are necessary and reasonable for accomplishment of project or program objectives
  - [https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=d50592213cb54dbc70c644e53bc1e316&mc=true&node=se2.1.200_1306&rgn=div8](https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=d50592213cb54dbc70c644e53bc1e316&mc=true&node=se2.1.200_1306&rgn=div8)
- Projects lacking a corresponding budget
- Applications submitted on behalf of other entities (consortiums)
Quick Points

- Ensure that
  - Your agency is eligible for this program
  - Your project addresses PSGP priorities FY19 NOFO and PGM
  - Your project is not an unallowable cost under PSGP
    *(TIP: keep the FY19 NOFO as a reference guide throughout the entire application process and life of the award)*

- Reimbursements
  - are allowable for all eligible costs associated with the project and are identified on the Authorized Equipment List (AEL) and not prohibited by the program or federal legislation [https://www.fema.gov/authorized-equipment-list](https://www.fema.gov/authorized-equipment-list)
  - Partially funded awards will clearly identify allowable costs within the award documentation (budget revision required)

- The project Scope of Work (SOW) approved for funding at the time of application should not be modified. If a scope of work change is needed post award, a program analyst must be contacted, and approval required prior to making any changes or work is performed
Best Practices & Common Mistakes

Best Practices

- Answer the following questions:
  - **Who** will benefit from the project
  - **What** is the project
  - **Where/When** will the project be implemented and milestones demonstrating how long
  - **Why** does it support PSGP local port area (maritime) priorities

- Use an electronic copy of the NOFO throughout the application process, and conduct word searches for elements pertinent to your project to ensure compliance with program requirements.
  - i.e. Personnel costs have limited allowability, and general operational costs are not funded

Common Mistakes

- Applicants fail to:
  - provide a required completed/clear detailed budget worksheet identifying a cost-share match
  - demonstrate a clear and concise investment justification for the project

- Projects appear to primarily support regions/inland projects and do not focus on Maritime Security Nexus
Highlighted Key Areas to Know

Investment Justifications (IJs)

- Be concise, but descriptive
  - Address specific PSGP funding priorities
  - Identify existing or similar capabilities, as well as the vulnerabilities being addressed
  - Don’t try to combine all projects into a single IJ (i.e. a fencing project should be separate from a vessel project) nor separate a single project into multiple IJs (i.e. for a fence project, a gate project, and lighting project would all be considered one “Facility Security” project)
  - Explain where/ how the project will be used to enhance security in your port area
  - Projects that fail to demonstrate the required cost-share, **will not** be considered for funding
  - Detailed Budget Worksheets are **required**. Component breakdown of costs are specific (i.e. don’t just say “Camera System - $100,000”, say (5) PTZ Cameras at $10,000 each, (1) 100 hour DVR at $5,000, etc.)
  - Cost categories should demonstrate total costs (i.e. total equipment cost, personnel costs such as M&A, over time, backfill, and etc.)
  - Cost-share, even if it’s in-kind, **must be** demonstrated as part of the detailed budget worksheet
  - Budgets must be approved by FEMA before project work can begin. Some budgets may be approved pre-award, others may require revisions to reflect final funding amounts and approved costs
Highlighted Key Areas to Know (Cont’d)

Cost-Share or In-Kind Match Requirement

- A non-federal cost-share (cash or in-kind) match of no less than 25% or 50% of the total project cost for each proposed project is required.

- Cash and in-kind matches must consist of eligible costs (i.e., purchase price of allowable contracts, equipment). A cash-match includes cash spent for project-related costs while an in-kind match includes the valuation of third party contributions of services or equipment. Likewise, in-kind matches used to meet the match requirement for the PSGP award may not be used to meet match requirements for any other federal grant program.

- Matching cost-share is subject to the same requirements as the federal share (i.e. budget review and EHP review are required of your cost-share and the cost-share must be outlined in the Investment Justification (IJ) and detailed budget worksheet.
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