JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The Managers on the part of the House and Senate on the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1495) to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

The Senate amendment struck all of the House bill after the enacting clause and inserted a substitute text.

The House recedes from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate with an amendment that is a substitute for the House bill and the Senate amendment. The differences among the House bill, the Senate amendment, and the substitute agreed to in conference are noted below, except for clerical corrections, conforming changes made necessary by agreements reached by the Managers, and minor drafting and clerical changes.

TITLE I – WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

SECTION 1001 – PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.

1001(1) Haines, Alaska. House § 1001(1), Senate § 1001(1). – Senate recedes.
1001(2) Port Lions, Alaska. House § 1001(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1001(3) Santa Cruz River, Paseo de Las Iglesias, Arizona. House § 1001(4). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1001(4) Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona. House § 1001(5), Senate § 1001(2). – Senate recedes.
1001(5) Salt River (Río Salado Oeste), Maricopa County, Arizona. House § 1001(3). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1001(6) Salt River (Va Shly'ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona. House § 1001(6), Senate § 1001(3). – House recedes, with an amendment.
1001(8) Hamilton City, Glenn County, California. House § 1001(8), Senate § 1001(5). – House recedes.
1001(9) Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach, California. House § 1001(9), Senate 1001(6). – House recedes.
1001(10) Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California. House § 1001(10), Senate 1001(7). – House recedes.
1001(11) Middle Creek, Lake County, California. House § 1001(11), Senate 1001 § 1001(8). – House recedes.
1001(12) Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California. House § 1001(12), Senate § 1001(9).—Senate recedes.

1001(13) Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado. House § 1001(13), Senate § 1001(10).—Senate recedes.


1001(16) Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1 Impoundment Project, Palm Beach County, Florida. House § 6005, Senate § 1001(11).—House recedes.

1001(17) Miami Harbor, Miami-Dade County, Florida. House § 1001(14), Senate § 1001(13).—Senate recedes.

1001(18) East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois. House § 1001(15), Senate § 1001(15).—Senate recedes.


1001(21) Des Moines and Raccoon Rivers, Des Moines, Iowa. House § 1001(18), Senate 1001(18).—Senate recedes.

1001(22) Licking River Basin, Cynthiana, Kentucky. House § 1001(19). No comparable Senate Section. Senate recedes.

1001(23) Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana. House § 1001(20), Senate 1001(19).—House recedes.

1001(24) Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana. House § 1001(21), Senate § 1001(20).—House recedes.

1001(25) Port of Iberia, Louisiana. House § 1001(22), Senate § 1001(21).—House recedes, with an amendment.

1001(26) Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland. House § 1001(23), Senate § 1001(23).—House recedes.

1001(27) Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota. House § 1001(24), Senate § 1001(24).—Senate recedes.

1001(28) Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, and North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas. House § 1001(26), Senate § 1001(26).—House recedes.

1001(29) Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri. House § 1001(27), Senate § 1001(27).—Senate recedes.

1001(30) Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey. House § 1001(28), Senate 1001(28).—House recedes.

1001(31) Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey. House § 1001(29), Senate § 1001(29).—Senate recedes.

1001(32) New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey. House § 1001(30), Senate § 1001(30).—Senate recedes.

1001(33) Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey. House § 1001(31), Senate § 1001(31).—House recedes.
SEC. 1002. SMALL PROJECTS FOR FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION.

1002(a)(3) Fort Yukon, Alaska. House § 5032. No comparable Senate section. - Senate recedes, with an amendment.
1002(a)(5) Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas. House § 1002(a)(5), Senate § 1004(1). - Same..
1002(a)(8) Colton, California. House § 1002(a)(8). No comparable Senate section. - Senate recedes.
1002(a)(14) Wildwood Creek, Yucaipa, California. House § 1002(a)(13). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1002(a)(15) Bibb County and City of Macon Levee, Georgia. Senate § 1004(2). No comparable House section. – House recedes.
1002(a)(16) Fort Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana. Senate § 1004(3). House § 3051. – House recedes, with an amendment.
1002(a)(20) Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota. House § 1002(a)(17), Senate § 1004(5). – Same.
1002(a)(21) Marsh Creek, Minnesota. House § 1002(a)(18). No comparable Senate Section. – Senate recedes.
1002(a)(22) South Branch of the Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota. House § 1002(a)(19), Senate § 1004(6). – Same.
1002(a)(31) Little Yankee and Mud Run, Trumbull County, Ohio. House § 1002(a)(28). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1002(a)(34) Spring Creek, Lower Macungie Township, Pennsylvania. House § 1002(a)(31). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1002(a)(36) Surfside Beach, South Carolina. House § 1002(a)(33). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1002(a)(37) Sandy Creek, Jackson County, Tennessee. Senate § 3113. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.
1002(a)(38) Congelosi Ditch, Missouri City, Texas. House § 1002(a)(34). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 1003. SMALL PROJECTS FOR EMERGENCY STREAMBANK PROTECTION.

1003(1) Aliso Creek, California. House § 1003(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(2) St. Johns Bluff Traniing Wall, Duval County, Florida. House § 1003(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(3) Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Iberville Parish, Louisiana. House § 1003(3). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(4) Ouachita and Black Rivers, Arkansas and Louisiana. House § 1003(4). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(5) Piney Point Lighthouse, St. Mary’s County, Maryland. House § 1003(5). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(6) Pug Hole Lake, Minnesota. House § 1003(6). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(7) Middle Fork Grand River, Gentry County, Missouri. House § 1003(7). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(8) Platte River, Platte City, Missouri. House § 1003(8). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(9) Rush Creek, Parkville, Missouri. House § 1003(9). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(10) Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland County, New York. House § 1003(10). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(13) Owego Creek, Tioga County, New York. House § 1003(13). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(14) Howard Road Outfall, Shelby County, Tennessee. House § 1003(14). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1003(17) Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas. House § 1003(17). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 1004. SMALL PROJECTS FOR NAVIGATION.

1004(a)(1) Barrow Harbor, Alaska. Senate § 1005(1). No comparable House section. – House recedes.
1004(a)(7) Mississippi River Ship Channel, Louisiana. House § 1004(a)(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1004(a)(8) East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts. House § 1004(a)(2), Senate 1005(5). – Same.
1004(a)(18) Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan. House § 1004(a)(8), Senate § 1005(13). – Same.
SEC. 1005. SMALL PROJECTS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT.

1005(1) Ballona Creek, Los Angeles County, California. House § 1005(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1005(2) Ballona Lagoon Tide Gates, Marina Del Ray, California. House § 1005(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1005(3) Ft. George Inlet, Duval County, Florida. House § 1005(3). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1005(5) Smithville Lake, Missouri. House § 1005(5). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1005(6) Delaware Bay, New Jersey and Delaware. House § 1005(6). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 1006. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.

1006(a)(1) Cypress Creek, Montgomery, Alabama. House § 1006(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(2) Black Lake, Alaska. House § 1006(2), Senate § 1006(1). – Same.
1006(a)(3) Ben Lomond Dam, Santa Cruz, California. House § 1006(4). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(4) Dockweiler Bluffs, Los Angeles County, California. House § 1006(5). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(5) Salt River, California. House § 1006(6). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(6) San Diego River, California. Senate § 1006(2). No comparable House section. – House recedes.
1006(a)(7) Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California. House § 1006(7). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(9) Suisun Marsh, San Pablo Bay, California. Senate § 1006(3). No comparable House section. – House recedes.
1006(a)(10) Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California. House § 1006(9). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(14) Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama. House § 1006(12), Senate § 1006(4). – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(15) Longwood Cove, Gainesville, Georgia. House § 1006(13). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(16) City Park, University Lakes, Louisiana. House § 1006(15). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(17) Lawrence Gateway, Massachusetts. Senate § 1006(5). No comparable House section. – House recedes.
1006(a)(18) Milford Pond, Milford, Massachusetts. Senate § 1006(7). No comparable House section. – House recedes.
1006(a)(20) Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts. House § 1006(17), Senate § 1006(8). – Same.
1006(a)(21) Clinton River, Michigan. Senate § 1006(9). No comparable House section. – House recedes.
1006(a)(22) Kalamazoo River Watershed, Battle Creek, Michigan. House § 1006(18). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(24) South Fork of the Crow River, Hutchinson, Minnesota. House § 1006(20). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(25) St. Louis, Missouri. House § 1006(21). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(26) Mobley Dam, Tongue River, Montana. No comparable House or Senate section.
1006(a)(27) S and H Dam, Tongue River, Montana. No comparable House or Senate section.
1006(a)(28) Vandalia Dam, Milk River, Montana. No comparable House or Senate section.
1006(a)(31) Caldwell County, North Carolina. Senate § 1006(10). No comparable House section. – House recedes.
1006(a)(33) Dugway Creek, Bratenahl, Ohio. House § 1006(24). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(34) Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon. House § 1006(25), Senate § 1006(12). – Same.
1006(a)(35) Beaver Creek, Beaver and Salem, Pennsylvania. House § 1006(26). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(38) Saucon Creek, Northampton County, Pennsylvania. House § 1006(28). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1006(a)(40) Blackstone River, Rhode Island. House § 1006(29), Senate § 1006(13). – Same.
SEC. 1007. SMALL PROJECTS FOR SHORELINE PROTECTION.

1007(2) Nicholas Canyon, Los Angeles, California. Senate § 4006. No comparable House section. – House recedes.
1007(3) Sanibel Island, Florida. House § 1007(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1007(4) Apra Harbor, Guam. House § 1007(3). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1007(5) Piti, Cabras Island, Guam. House § 1007(4). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
1007(8) Port Aransas, Texas. House § 1007(8). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 1008. SMALL PROJECTS FOR SNAGGING AND SEDIMENT REMOVAL.


SEC. 1009. SMALL PROJECTS TO PREVENT OR MITIGATE DAMAGE CAUSED BY NAVIGATION PROJECTS.


SEC. 1010. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL.

1010. Republican River Basin, Nebraska. Senate § 1008. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

TITLE 2 – GENERAL PROVISIONS.

SEC. 2001. NON-FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS.

House § 2001, No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
SEC. 2002. FUNDING TO PROCESS PERMITS.

House § 2003, Senate § 2017. Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The Managers recognize the importance of efficient and effective processing of permits by the Corps of Engineers for activities affecting federally regulated waters, including wetlands, in compliance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et. seq.). Congress included a provision in the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-541, Sec. 214) to expedite the permit processing time for nonfederal public entities.

The Managers also recognize the findings and recommendations of the May 2007 report of the United States Government Accountability Office (“GAO”), entitled “Corps of Engineers Needs to Ensure That Permit Decisions Made Using Funds from Nonfederal Public Entities Are Transparent and Impartial” (GAO-07-478). In this report, GAO emphasized the importance of transparency and impartiality in permit reviews and decisionmaking, and ensuring that all of the Corps’ District offices follow internal Corps’ Headquarters guidance on maintaining impartial decisionmaking, including, at a minimum, that all Corps District offices provide that permits decisions under section 214 are reviewed at least by one level above the decisionmaker, that all final permit decisions are made available electronically, that the Corps not eliminate any procedures or decisions that would otherwise be required for the type of project under consideration, and that the Corps comply with all applicable laws and regulations. The GAO report also expressed concern that certain Corps districts have allowed private companies to submit permit applications under section 214, in contravention to the intent of this authority.

Although GAO was not able to conclude definitively whether permitting processing times have decreased under the section 214 program, the report does recognize some benefits reported by participating non-Federal public entities, including the potential for reduced cost and time for permit processing for those entities that have contributed funds to the program, and improved communication between participating entities and the Corps.

The Managers intend to conduct additional oversight on the implementation of this program before the authority for this program expires in 2009.

SEC. 2003. WRITTEN AGREEMENT FOR WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS.


SEC. 2004. COMPILATION OF LAWS.

House § 2011, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2005. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL.

House § 2012, Senate § 3089.—Senate recedes.
SEC. 2006. REMOTE AND SUBSISTENCE HARBORS.

House § 2015, Senate § 2038.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2007. USE OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS.

House § 2018, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2008. REVISION OF PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT; COST SHARING.

House § 2019, 2020, 2035. No comparable Senate sections.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2009. EXPEDITED ACTIONS FOR EMERGENCY FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION.

House § 2021, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2010. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.

House § 2022, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2011. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.

House § 2023, Senate § 2027.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2012. WILDFIRE FIREFIGHTING.

House § 2024, Senate § 2022.—Same.

SEC. 2013. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.

House § 2025, Senate § 2009.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2014. LAKES PROGRAM.

House § 2026, Senate § 5001.—House and Senate with comparable sections, combine list of House and Senate projects.

This section amends section 602(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 to add the following locations to the Lakes Program: Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois; McCarter Pond, Borough of Fairhaven, New Jersey; Rogers Pond, Franklin Township, New Jersey; Greenwood Lake, New York and New Jersey; Lake Rodgers, Creedmoor, North Carolina; Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota; Lake Luxembourg, Pennsylvania; Lake Fairlee, Vermont; and Lake Morley, Vermont.

SEC. 2015. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.
House § 2029, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2016. TRAINING FUNDS.

House § 2030, Senate § 2003.—Same.

SEC. 2017. ACCESS TO WATER RESOURCE DATA.

House § 2031, Senate § 2010.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2018. SHORE PROTECTION PROJECTS.

House § 2032, Senate § 2014.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2019. ABILITY TO PAY.

House § 2033, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2020. AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM AND ESTUARY RESTORATION.

House § 2006, Senate § 2033, 2035, and 2037.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The Managers recognize the importance of projects for the restoration of salt-water estuaries and for the rehabilitation and removal of dams in improving aquatic ecosystems and the environment. The Managers recognize that such projects are typically eligible under section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330).

This section amends section 206 to explicitly authorize projects that improve elements and features of an estuary (as defined in section 103 of the Estuaries and Clean Waters Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2902)) and projects for the removal of dams, that otherwise meet the requirements of section 206.

SEC. 2021. SMALL FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION PROJECTS.

House § 2007, Senate § 2040.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2022. SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS.

Senate § 2031, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2023. PROTECTION OF HIGHWAYS, BRIDGE APPROACHES, PUBLIC WORKS, AND NONPROFIT PUBLIC SERVICES.

Senate § 2032, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2024. MODIFICATION OF PROJECTS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT.
House § 2008, Senate § 2034.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2025. REMEDIATION OF ABANDONED MINE SITES.

Senate § 2036, No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall give priority to the Mt. Diablo Mercury Mine Clean-up project in Contra Costa County, California.

SEC. 2026. LEASING AUTHORITY.

House § 2034, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

Sec. 2027. FISCAL TRANSPARENCY REPORT.

Senate § 2004, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2028. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS PROGRAM.

House § 2041, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2029. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON CRITERIA FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF HARBOR-DREDGING PROJECTS.

House § 2043, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2030. INTERAGENCY AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT AUTHORITY.

Senate § 2002, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2028. WATER RESOURCES PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES.

House § 2036, Senate § 2006.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2032. WATER RESOURCE PRIORITIES REPORT.

Senate § 2006(d), No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2033. PLANNING.

Senate § 2005, No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2034. INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW.

Senate § 2007, House § 2037. — House recedes, with an amendment.
Section 2034 provides that project studies shall be subject to peer review by an independent panel of experts, as provided in this section. The conference agreement is a combination of independent peer review proposals passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives. The managers believe that the conference agreement improves upon both the House and Senate proposals to create a strong, workable, and independent process for review of project studies carried out by the Corps of Engineers. For example, the conference agreement authorizes the independent peer review to run concurrent with the project study period, and requires that the peer review panel remain beyond the release of the independent peer review report to allow the expertise gained during the review period to be utilized by the Corps up to the release of the draft report of the Chief of Engineers.

This section establishes two categories for independent peer review – project studies for which independent peer review is mandatory, and project studies for which such review is discretionary. This section provides for mandatory review of project studies that have an estimated total cost of more than $45 million, project studies for which the Governor of an affected state requests an independent peer review, and project studies that the Chief of Engineers determines are controversial. In determining whether a project is controversial, the Chief of Engineers must consider whether there is significant public dispute as to the size, nature, or effects of the proposed project, and whether there is significant public dispute as to the economic or environmental costs or benefits of the proposed project.

Section 2034(a)(3)(B) provides for discretionary independent peer review of project studies for which the head of a Federal or state agency charged with reviewing the project study determines that the proposed project is likely to have a significant adverse impact on environmental, cultural, or other natural resources under the jurisdiction of the agency after implementation of the proposed mitigation plans. This section provides that the Chief of Engineers must reach a decision whether to conduct an independent peer review of such project studies within 21 days of a receipt of a request by the head of the Federal or state agency. In the event that the Chief of Engineers decides not to conduct a discretionary independent peer review, the head of the Federal or state agency that requested the review may appeal this decision to the Chairman of the Council on Environmental Quality (“CEQ”). The Chairman of CEQ must reach a decision on whether an independent peer review must be conducted for the project study with 30 days of receipt of an appeal. In the event that the Chief of Engineers decides not to conduct an independent peer review, the Chief of Engineers must make the reasons for not conducting the review publicly available, including on the Internet.

Section 2034 permits the Chief of Engineers to exclude a very limited number of project studies from independent peer review. The managers expect that project studies that could be excluded from independent peer review are so limited in scope or impact, that they would not significantly benefit from an independent peer review.

Sections 2034(a)(5)(A) and (B) establish criteria for the Chief of Engineers to exclude a project study that is subject to independent peer review because its estimated total costs exceed $45 million. The managers expect that these criteria allow the Chief of Engineers to exclude from independent peer review only those project studies for which there is no controversy, a lack of significant impact to cultural, historical, or tribal resources, a lack of substantial adverse impacts to fish and wildlife species or habitat, and a lack of an impact on
endangered or threatened species under the Endangered Species Act, or involve projects that, in essence, replace exiting components of ongoing projects within the same footprint as the original project, or have minimal risk to life or public safety.

Project studies subject to independent peer review based on the request of the Governor of an affected State may not be excluded from review.

Section 2034(a)(5)(C) authorizes the Chief of Engineers to exclude the small project studies developed under certain of the Corps of Engineers continuing authorities programs; however, such project studies could be subject to independent peer review under the factors established under section 2034(a)(3)(A).

Sections 2034(a)(2) and 2034(d) establish the duties of the independent peer review panel and the scope of review for a project study. The managers have defined the scope of review broadly to allow the independent review panel to examine all of the economic and environmental assumptions and projections, project evaluation data, economic analyses, environmental analyses, engineering analyses, formulation of alternative plans, methods for integrating risk and uncertainty, models used in evaluation of economic or environmental impacts of proposed projects, and any biological opinions of the project study. The managers expect the independent peer review panel to review those components of a project study for which the panel believes there is a reason for review. The managers do not expect the independent peer review panel to review components of the project study where the panel determines there is no controversy, disagreement, or concern.

Sections 2034(b) and 2034(e)(1)(A) establish the timing of the independent peer review. The managers expect that, in all cases, the independent peer review will occur during the period beginning on the date of the signing of the feasibility cost-sharing agreement, and will be conducted concurrent with the development of the project study by the Corps of Engineers. The managers believe that having the independent peer review carried out concurrently with the development of the project study will allow the independent peer review panel to receive relevant information from the Corps, on a timely basis, and allow the independent peer review panel to provide ongoing input into the development of the project study. The managers expect that this process will provide the independent peer review panel with sufficient information to conduct its review, as well as allow the peer review panel to recommend mid-course corrections to the ongoing project study, and avoid the potential for significant issues or delay to arise at the end of the project study period. The managers recognize that the recommendations of the independent peer review panel are advisory; however, the managers expect the Corps to give full consideration to the findings of the independent peer review panel.

Section 2034(e)(1)(A) provides that the independent peer review panel conclude its peer review, and submit a report to the Chief of Engineers, not more than 60 days after the close of the public comment period for the draft project study. The Chief of Engineers may extend the period for the peer review panel to conclude its peer review if the Chief of Engineers determines that additional time is necessary. The managers have included language to terminate the peer review panel on the date of the initiation of the State and agency review, which is conterminous with the release of the draft Report of the Chief of Engineers for the project, and which is after the issuance of the peer review report. The
managers recognize that the Corps of Engineers intends to allow a member or members of
the peer review panel to participate on the Civil Works Review Board, which requires
District Commanders to present their final reports and recommendations for review. The
managers have included language to keep the independent peer review impaneled beyond
the issuance of the peer review report to allow a member of the peer review panel to
participate on the Civil Works Review Board, and to be available as experts, if needed, for
additional consultation with the Corps of Engineers on the project study.

SEC. 2035. SAFETY ASSURANCE REVIEW.

Senate § 2007(d), No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2036. MITIGATION FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE AND WETLANDS LOSSES.

House § 2013 and 2014, Senate § 2008.—House recedes, with an amendment.

Section 2036 amends section 906(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of
1986 with more explicit mitigation requirements and to specify the elements that must be
identified in a mitigation plan required under that section.

This section requires the Secretary to mitigate losses to flood damage reduction
capabilities and losses to fish and wildlife of the project area. The specific mitigation plan
must include a description of the physical action to be undertaken. The plan also must
include a description of the lands or interests in lands to be acquired for mitigation, and the
basis for a determination that such lands are available. This description is not intended to be
a description of the specific property interests, but the plan must describe how the
mitigation will be implemented.

The managers expect the mitigation plan to identify the quantity and type of lands
needed, and include a determination that lands of such quantity and type are available for
acquisition. The plan also must include the type, amount, and characteristics of the habitat
to be restored. The plan must include success criteria based on replacement of lost
functions and values of the habitat, including hydrologic and vegetative characteristics.
Finally, if monitoring is necessary to determination success of the mitigation, the plan must
include a plan for monitoring and to the extent practicable, identification of the entities
responsible for monitoring. As monitoring is part of operation and maintenance of a
project, in most cases the entity responsible for any monitoring will be the non-Federal
sponsor. If such person is not identifiable at the time the mitigation plan is prepared under
this section, such person must be identified in the partnership agreement entered into with
the non-Federal interest.

The managers support more specificity in Corps reporting documents concerning
expected mitigation efforts. Such increased specificity will better inform the Congress, the
non-Federal sponsor, and the public as to planned mitigation efforts and the likely success of
these efforts. This section also directs the Secretary to submit to Congress a report on the
status of mitigation concurrent with the submission of reports on the status of project
construction, as part of the President’s budget submission.
Section 2036(c) directs the Secretary, when carrying out water resources projects, to first consider the use of a mitigation bank if the bank has sufficient and appropriate (including ecologically appropriate) credit to offset the impact, and the mitigation bank meets certain criteria. To the maximum extent practicable, the service area of the mitigation bank shall be in the same watershed as the project activity for which mitigation is required.

Nothing in this section affects the responsibility of the Corps of Engineers to apply the regulatory guidelines developed under section 404(b)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (40 CFR Part 230) related to mitigation sequencing.

SEC. 2037. REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.

House § 2016, Senate § 2012.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

This section amends section 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326), and includes a new subsection (f) that directs the Secretary to give priority to regional sediment management projects in the following locations: Little Rock Slackwater Harbor, Arkansas; Fletcher Cove, California; Egmont Key, Florida; Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana; Delaware River Estuary, New Jersey and Pennsylvania; Fire Island Inlet, Suffolk County, New York; Smith Point Park Pavilion and the TWA Flight 800 Memorial, Brookhaven, New York; Morehead City, North Carolina; Toledo Harbor, Lucas County, Ohio; Galveston Bay, Texas; and Benson Beach, Washington.

SEC. 2038. NATIONAL SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL PROGRAM.

House § 2005 and 2004, Senate § 2013.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2039. MONITORING ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.

Senate § 2015, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2040. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF PERMIT APPLICATIONS.

Senate § 2018, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2041. PROJECT ADMINISTRATION.

Senate § 2024, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2042. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.

Senate § 2025, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2043. STUDIES AND REPORTS FOR WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS.

House § 2038, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.
SEC. 2044. COORDINATION AND SCHEDULING OF FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL ACTIONS.

House § 2027, No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2045. PROJECT STREAMLINING.

House § 2028, No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2046. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATION.

Senate § 2028, House § 3123(f). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2047. FEDERAL HOPPER DREDGES.

House § 2042, Senate § 2020. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

TITLE III – PROJECT-RELATED PROVISIONS

SEC. 3001. BLACK WARRIOR-TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, ALABAMA.

Senate § 3003. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3002. COOK INLET, ALASKA.

House § 3001. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3003. KING COVE HARBOR, ALASKA.

House § 3002. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3004. SEWARD HARBOR, ALASKA.

Senate § 4001. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3005. SITKA, ALASKA.

House § 3003, Senate § 3002. – Same.

SEC. 3006. TATITLEK, ALASKA.

House § 3004. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3007. RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.

House § 3005, Senate § 3005. – Same.
SEC. 3008. NOGALES WASH AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, ARIZONA.

Senate § 3004. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3009. TUSCON DRAINAGE AREA, ARIZONA.

Senate § 3006. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3010. OSCEOLA HARBOR, ARKANSAS.

House § 3006. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3011. ST. FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, ARKANSAS AND MISSOURI.

Senate § 3010. House § 5043. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3012. PINE MOUNTAIN DAM, ARKANSAS.

House § 3007. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3013. RED-OUACHITA RIVER BASIN LEVEES, ARKANSAS AND LOUISIANA.

Senate § 3009. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3014. CACHE CREEK BASIN, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3013. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3015. CALFED STABILITY PROGRAM, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3014. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3016. COMPTON CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3009. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3017. GRAYSON CREEK/MURDERER'S CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3010, Senate § 2016(1). – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3018. HAMILTON AIRFIELD, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3011, Senate § 3015. – Senate recedes.
SEC. 3019. JOHN F. BALDWIN SHIP CHANNEL AND STOCKTON SHIP CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3012. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

The managers recommend that the Secretary and the Chief of Engineers expedite the completion of the ongoing General Reevaluation Report for the San Francisco Bay to Stockton project.

SEC. 3020. KAWEAH RIVER, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3013. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3021. LARKSPUR FERRY CHANNEL, LARKSPUR, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3014, Senate § 3017. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3022. LLAGAS CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3015, Senate § 3018. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3023. MAGPIE CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3016, Senate § 3019. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3024. PACIFIC FLYWAY CENTER, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3017. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3025. PETALUMA RIVER, PETALUMA, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3020. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3026. PINOLE CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3018. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3027. PRADO DAM, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3019. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3028. REDWOOD CITY NAVIGATION CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3029. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

The managers recognize the importance of annual operation and maintenance of navigation channels and note that the work addressed in this section can be addressed under existing statutory authorities. The managers do not intend to address the operation and
maintenance of every navigation project through the enactment of additional statutory language, but expect the Corps to address the maintenance dredging needs of authorized projects under existing statutory authorities.

SEC. 3029. SACRAMENTO AND AMERICAN RIVERS FLOOD CONTROL, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3008 and 3020, Senate § 3023. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3030. SACRAMENTO DEEP WATER SHIP CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3019. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3031. SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3024. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3032. SALTON SEA RESTORATION, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3026. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3033. SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CALIFORNIA.

No comparable Senate or House section.

SEC. 3034. SANTA BARBARA STREAMS, LOWER MISSION CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3027. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3035. SANTA CRZ HARBOR, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3022. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3036. SEVEN OAKS DAM, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3023, Senate § 2016(2). – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3037. UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3025, Senate § 3028. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3038. WALNUT CREEK CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3025, Senate § 2016(3). – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3039. WILDCAT/SAN PABLO CREEK PHASE I, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3026. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
SEC. 3040. WILDCAT/SAN PABLO CREEK PHASE II, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3027, Senate § 2016(5). – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3041. YUBA RIVER BASIN PROJECT, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3028, Senate § 3029. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3042. SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN, COLORADO.

House § 3029. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3043. INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE RIVER TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, DELAWARE AND MARYLAND.

House § 3030. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3044. ST. GEORGE’S BRIDGE, DELAWARE.

Senate § 3033. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3045. BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.

House § 3031, Senate § 3035. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3046. BROWARD COUNTY AND HILLSBORO INLET, FLORIDA.

House § 3032. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3047. CANAVERAL HARBOR, FLORIDA.

House § 3033. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3048. GASPARILLA AND ESTERO ISLANDS, FLORIDA.

House § 3034. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3049. LIDO KEY BEACH, SARASOTA, FLORIDA.

House § 3036, Senate § 3038. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3050. PEANUT ISLAND, FLORIDA.

House § 3038. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3051. PORT SUTTON, FLORIDA.
Senate § 3039. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3052. TAMPA HARBOR-BIG BEND CHANNEL, FLORIDA.

House § 3039. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3053. TAMPA HARBOR CUT B, FLORIDA.

House § 3040, Senate § 3040. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3054. ALLATONNA LAKE, GEORGIA.

House § 3041, Senate § 3041. — House recedes.

SEC. 3055. LATHAM RIVER, GLYNN COUNTY, GEORGIA.

House § 3042. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3056. DWORSHAK RESERVOIR IMPROVEMENTS, IDAHO.

Senate § 3042, House § 3043. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3057. LITTLE WOOD RIVER, GOODING, IDAHO

Senate § 3043. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3058. BEARDSTOWN COMMUNITY BOAT HARBOR, BEARDSTOWN, ILLINOIS.

House § 3044. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3059. CACHE RIVER LEVEE, ILLINOIS.

House § 3045, Senate § 3045. — Same.

SEC. 3060. CHICAGO RIVER, ILLINOIS.

House § 3046, Senate § 3046. — Same.

SEC. 3061. CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERsal BARRIERS PROJECT, ILLINOIS.

House § 3047, Senate § 5015. — Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3062. EMIQUON, ILLINOIS.

House § 3048. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
SEC. 3063. LASALLE, ILLINOIS.

House § 3049. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3064. SPUNKY BOTTOMS, ILLINOIS.

House § 3050, Senate § 3050. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3065. CEDAR LAKE, INDIANA.

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 3066. KOONTZ LAKE, INDIANA.

House § 3052. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3067. WHITE RIVER, INDIANA.

House § 3053. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3068. DES MOINES RIVER AND GREENBELT, IOWA.

House § 3054. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on
recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3069. PERRY CREEK, IOWA.

Senate § 3145. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3070. RATHBUN LAKE, IOWA.

House § 3055, Senate § 3146. – Same.

SEC. 3071. HICKMAN BLUFF STABILIZATION, KENTUCKY.

Senate § 3054. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3072. MCALPINE LOCK AND DAM, KENTUCKY AND INDIANA.

Senate § 3055. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3073. PRESTONSBURG, KENTUCKY.

House § 3056. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3074. AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, LOUISIANA, EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH WATERSHED.

House § 3057, Senate § 3059. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3075. ATCHAFLAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LOUISIANA.

House § 3059 and 3062, Senate § 3056. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3076. ATCHAFLAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM, REGIONAL VISITOR CENTER, LOUISIANA.

House § 3058, Senate § 3057. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3077. ATCHAFLAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF, AND BLACK, LOUISIANA.

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 3078. BAYOU PLAQUEMINE, LOUISIANA.

House § 3056. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3079. CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LOUISIANA.
Senate § 3058. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 3080. RED RIVER (J. BENNETT JOHNSTON) WATERWAY, LOUISIANA.

House § 3061, Senate § 3061. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3081. MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LOUISIANA.

House § 3063. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3082. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET RELOCATION ASSISTANCE, LOUISIANA.

Senate § 3060. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3083. VIOLET, LOUISIANA.

Senate § 3076. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3084. WEST BANK OF THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER (EAST OF HARVEY CANAL), LOUISIANA.

House § 3065. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3085. CAMP ELLIS, SACO, MAINE.

House § 3066, Senate § 3062. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3086. CUMBERLAND, MARYLAND.

Senate § 3069. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 3087. POPLAR ISLAND, MARYLAND.

Senate § 1001(22). No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3088. DETROIT RIVER SHORELINE, DETROIT, MICHIGAN.

House § 3067. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3089. ST. CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST. CLAIR, MICHIGAN.

House § 3067, Senate § 3074. — Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3090. ST. JOSEPH HARBOR, MICHIGAN.

House § 3065. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
SEC. 3091. SAULT SAINTE MARIE, MICHIGAN.

House § 3070. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

The Managers recognize the importance of constructing a second lock at Sault Sainte Marie, Michigan, to enhance overall national security by avoiding any potential disruption to Great Lakes, national, and international shipping that would occur in the event of a shutdown or terrorist attack at the existing lock. The Secretary is directed to carry out the project, as expeditiously as practicable, without regard to normal policy considerations.

SEC. 3092. ADA, MINNESOTA.

House § 3071. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3093. DULUTH HARBOR, MCQUADE ROAD, MINNESOTA.

House § 3072, Senate § 3075. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3094. GRAND MARAIS, MINNESOTA.

House § 3073. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3095. GRAND PORTAGE HARBOR, MINNESOTA.

House § 3074. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3096. GRANITE FALLS, MINNESOTA.

House § 3073. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3097. KNIFE RIVER HARBOR, MINNESOTA.

House § 3076. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3098. RED LAKE RIVER, MINNESOTA.

House § 3077. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3099. SILVER BAY, MINNESOTA.

House § 3078. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3100. TACONITE HARBOR, MINNESOTA.

House § 3079. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3101. TWO HARBORS, MINNESOTA.
House § 3078. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3102. DEER ISLAND, HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.

House § 3078. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3103. JACKSON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.

Senate § 3147. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3104. PEARL RIVER BASIN, MISSISSIPPI.

House § 3082. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3105. FESTUS AND CRYSTAL CITY, MISSOURI.

House § 3083. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3106. L-15 LEVEE, MISSOURI.

House § 3084, Senate § 3078. – Same.

SEC. 3107. MONARCH-CHESTERFIELD, MISSOURI.

House § 3085. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3108. RIVER DES PERES, MISSOURI.

House § 3086. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3109. LOWER YELLOWSTONE PROJECT, MONTANA.

Senate § 3080. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3110. YELLOWSTONE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MONTANA AND NORTH DAKOTA.

Senate § 3081. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3111. ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NEBRASKA.

House § 3087. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3112. SAND CREEK WATERSHED, WAHOO, NEBRASKA.

House § 3088. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
SEC. 3113. WESTERN SARPY AND CLEAR CREEK, NEBRASKA.

House § 3089, Senate § 3082. — Same.

SEC. 3114. LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, MCCARRAN RANCH, NEVADA.

Senate § 3083. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 3115. LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, CAPE MAY POINT, NEW JERSEY.

House § 3090. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3116. PASSAIC RIVER BASIN FLOOD MANAGEMENT, NEW JERSEY.

House § 3091. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3117. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, NEW MEXICO.

Senate § 3084. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 3118. MIDDLE RIO GRANDE RESTORATION, NEW MEXICO.

Senate § 3085. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3119. BUFFALO HARBOR, NEW YORK.

House § 3092. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3120. LONG ISLAND SOUND OYSTER RESTORATION, NEW YORK AND CONNECTICUT.

Senate § 3086. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

The Managers recognize that oyster restoration activities are consistent with the Corps environmental protection and restoration mission, and are appropriately cost shared at a non-Federal cost of 35 percent, consistent with section 103 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213). This section does not create a new cost share for oyster restoration activities.

SEC. 3121. MAMARONECK AND SHELDRAKE RIVERS WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, NEW YORK.

Senate § 3087. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated
recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3122. ORCHARD BEACH, BRONX, NEW YORK.

House § 3093, Senate § 3088. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3123. PORT OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY, NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY.

House § 3094. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3124. NEW YORK STATE CANAL SYSTEM.

House § 3095, Senate § 3090. — Same.

SEC. 3125. SUSQUEHANNA RIVER AND UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, NEW YORK.

Senate § 3091. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3126. MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, NORTH DAKOTA.

Senate § 3092. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 3127. WAHPETON, NORTH DAKOTA.

No comparable Senate or House section.

SEC. 3128. OHIO.

Senate § 3093. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 3129. LOWER GIRARD LAKE DAM, GIRARD, OHIO.

House § 3096, Senate § 3094. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3130. MAHONING RIVER, OHIO.

House § 3074. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
SEC. 3131. ARCADIA LAKE, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3096. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3132. ARKANSAS RIVER CORRIDOR, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3012. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3133. LAKE EUFAULA, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3097. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3134. OKLAHOMA LAKES DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3099. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3135. OTTAWA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3100. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

Section 3135 provides general authorization to complete the current buyout of residences and businesses in the communities of Picher, Cardin, and Hockerville, Oklahoma for those applicants that wish to participate in the program being administered by the State of Oklahoma. The funds authorized in this section may be appropriated through any Act of appropriation.

Section 3135 directs the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to consider a remedial action for the Tar Creek, Oklahoma, National Priorities List site that includes permanent relocation of residents consistent with the program and costs of the program being administered by the State of Oklahoma. The Administrator should make appropriate use of the expertise and experience of the State of Oklahoma Lead-Impacted Communities Relocation Assistance Trust in developing such a remedy.

Section 3135 also provides that the inclusion of subsidence remedies, such as relocation, as part of the remedial action does not preempt or in any way delay or interfere with the right of any sovereign entity, including any state or tribal government, to utilize state laws to seek additional or other remedies, such as abatement, for the land subsidence and subsidence risks. This section does not supersede state or tribal authority to seek remedies for land subsidence.

SEC. 3136. RED RIVER CHLORIDE CONTROL, OKLAHOMA AND TEXAS.

Senate § 3101. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3137. WAURIKA LAKE, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3102. No comparable House section. – House recedes.
SEC. 3138. UPPER WILLAMETTE RIVER WATERSHED ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OREGON.

Senate § 3104. House § 5103. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3139. DELAWARE RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA, NEW JERSEY, AND DELAWARE.

House § 3098. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3140. RAYSTOWN LAKE, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 3099. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3141. SHERADEN PARK STREAM AND CHARTIERS CREEK, ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 3100. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3142. SOLOMON'S CREEK, WILKES-BARRE, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 3101. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3143. SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 3102. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3144. WYOMING VALLEY, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 3103. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3145. NARRAGANSETT BAY, RHODE ISLAND.

Senate § 3106. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3146. MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, SOUTH DAKOTA.

Senate § 3108. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3147. CEDAR BAYOU, TEXAS.

House § 3104, Senate § 3113. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3148. FREEPORT HARBOR, TEXAS.

House § 3105, Senate § 3116. – House recedes.

SEC. 3149. LAKE KEMP, TEXAS.
House § 3106. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3150. LOWER RIO GRANDE BASIN, TEXAS.

House § 3107. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3151. NORTH PADRE ISLAND, CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TEXAS.

House § 3108. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3152. PAT MAYSE LAKE, TEXAS.

House § 3109. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

The managers recognize the need to review Federal policy concerning water supply at Corps of Engineers reservoirs, and to determine whether changes are warranted. At many existing Corps of Engineers reservoirs, there is the possibility of expanding the storage space that is dedicated to municipal and industrial water supply (drinking water) as an alternative to alleviate local water supply shortages. This is particularly true throughout the Southwest and Southeast. The current policy of the Corps of Engineers is to maximize the return to the Treasury for the right to utilize storage at these existing reservoirs. This often makes the cost of storage too high for many communities.

The managers have included section 3152 in the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 to address this issue at Pat Mayse Lake, Texas; however, the managers do not expect to address additional water supply agreements on a case-by-case basis in future water resources bills, but rather to review the overall Federal policy concerning the operation of Corps of Engineers facilities.

SEC. 3153. PROCTOR LAKE, TEXAS.

House § 3110. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3154. SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL, SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS.

House § 3111. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3155. CONNECTICUT RIVER RESTORATION, VERMONT.

Senate § 3118. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3156. DAM REMEDIATION, VERMONT.

Senate § 3118. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

This provision adds the following dams to section 543 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000; Camp Wapanacki, Hardwick; Star Lake Dam, Mt. Holly; Curtis
Pond, Calais; Weathersfield Reservoir, Springfield; Burr Pond, Sudbury; Maidstone Lake, Guildhall; Upper and Lower Hurricane Dam; Lake Fairlee; West Charleston Dam; White River, Sharon.

SEC. 3157. LAKE CHAMPLAIN EURASIAN MILFOIL, WATER CHESTNUT, AND OTHER NONNATIVE PLANT CONTROL, VERMONT.

Senate § 3120. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3158. UPPER CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN WETLAND RESTORATION, VERMONT AND NEW HAMPSHIRE.

Senate § 3121. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3159. UPPER CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, VERMONT AND NEW HAMPSHIRE.

Senate § 3122. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3160. LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED, VERMONT AND NEW YORK.

Senate § 3123. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3161. SANDBRIDGE BEACH, VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA.

Senate § 3148. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3162. TANGIER ISLAND SEAWALL, VIRGINIA.

House § 3112, Senate § 3126. -- House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3163. DUWANISH/GREEN, WASHINGTON.

House § 3113. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3164. MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, MCNARY NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, WASHINGTON AND IDAHO.

Senate § 3128. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3165. SNAKE RIVER PROJECT, WASHINGTON AND IDAHO.

Senate § 3130. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3166. YAKIMA RIVER, PORT OF SUNNYSIDE, WASHINGTON.

House § 3114. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
SEC. 3167. BLUESTONE LAKE, OHIO RIVER BASIN, WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 3115. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3168. GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 3116. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3169. LESAGE/GREENBOTTOM SWAMP, WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 3117. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3170. LOWER MUD RIVER, MILTON, WEST VIRGINIA.

Senate § 3132. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3171. MCDOWELL COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA.

Senate § 3133. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3172. PARKESBURG, WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 3118. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3173. GREEN BAY HARBOR, GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN.

Senate § 3134. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3174. MANITOWOC HARBOR, WISCONSIN.

House § 3119. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3175. MISSISSIPPI RIVER HEADWATERS RESERVOIRS.
House § 3120, Senate § 3137. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3176. UPPER BASIN OF THE MISSOURI RIVER.

Senate § 3140. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 3177. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.

Senate § 3139. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3178. UPPER OHIO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES NAVIGATION SYSTEM NEW TECHNOLOGY PILOT PROGRAM.

Senate § 3144. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3179. CONTINUATION OF PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.

(2) Agana River, Guam. House § 3121(2). No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
(3) Baltimore Harbor and Channels, Maryland and Virginia. House § 3121(3), Senate § 3067. Senate recedes.
(4) Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts. House § 3121(4), Senate § 3071. — Senate recedes.
(5) Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan. Senate § 3073, No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 3180. PROJECT REAUTHORIZATIONS.

(2) Hearing Island Inlet, Duluth Harbor, Minnesota. House § 3122(3). No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
(3) Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin. House § 3122(2), Senate § 3135. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3181. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATIONS.

(a)(1) Bridgeport Harbor, Connecticut. House § 3123(a)(1), Senate § 6003. — Senate recedes
(a)(6) Falmouth Harbor, Massachusetts. House § 3123(a)(5), Senate § 6027. — Senate recedes.
(a)(7) Island End River, Massachusetts. House § 3123(a)(5), Senate § 6028. — Senate recedes.
(a) (9) Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts. House § 3123(a)(8), Senate § 3070. – Senate recedes.
(a) (11) Oconto Harbor, Wisconsin. Senate § 3136. No comparable House section. – House recedes.


(c) Southport Harbor, Fairfield, Connecticut. House § 3123(b). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

(d) Saco River, Maine. House § 3123(c), Senate § 3065. – Same.

(e) Union River, Maine. House § 3123(d), Senate § 3066. – Senate recedes.

(f) Mystic River, Massachusetts. House § 3123(e), Senate § 6029. – Senate recedes.

(g) Rivercenter, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. No comparable House or Senate section.

(h) Additional Deauthorizations. Senate §§ 6002, 6004, 6005, 6007, 6008, 6009, 6011, 6013, 6014, 6015, 6016, 6017, 6018, 6019, 6022, 6023, 6026, 6033, 6034, 6036, 6037, 6042, 6045, 6046, 6048, 6049, 6050, 6051, 6052, 6053, and 6055. No comparable House sections. – House recedes.

SEC. 3182. LAND CONVEYANCES.

(a) St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri. House § 3124(a), Senate § 3011. – Senate recedes.
(b) Oakland Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, California. Senate § 5006. No comparable House section. – House recedes.
(c) Milford, Kansas. House § 3124(b), Senate § 3052. – Senate recedes.
(d) Strawn Cemetery, John Redmond Lake, Kansas. Senate § 3051. No comparable House section. – House recedes.
(e) Pike County, Missouri. House § 3124(c), Senate § 3077. – House recedes.
(f) Union Lake, Missouri. Senate § 3079, No comparable House section. – House recedes.
(g) Boardman, Oregon. House § 3124(d). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
(h) Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon. House § 3124(e), Senate § 3103. Senate recedes, with an amendment.
(i) Richard B. Russell Lake, South Carolina. House § 3124(g), Senate § 3107. – House recedes, with an amendment.
(j) Denison, Texas. House § 3124(h), Senate § 3114. – House recedes, with an amendment.
SEC. 3183. EXTINGUISHMENT OF REVERSIONARY INTERESTS AND USE RESTRICTIONS.

(a) Idaho. House § 3125(a), Senate § 3044. – House recedes.
(b) Lake Texoma, Oklahoma. House § 3125(b), Senate § 3098. House recedes, with an amendment.
(c) Lowell, Oregon. House § 3124(f). No comparable Senate provision. – Senate recedes.
(d) Old Hickory Lock and Dam, Cumberland River, Tennessee. House § 3125(c), Senate § 3111. – House recedes.

TITLE IV—STUDIES.

SEC. 4001. JOHN GLENN GREAT LAKES BASIN PROGRAM.

House § 4001. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4002. LAKE ERIE DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITES.

House § 4002. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4003. SOUTHWESTERN UNITED STATES DROUGHT STUDY.

House § 4003. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4004. DELAWARE RIVER.

House § 4004. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4005. EURASIAN MILFOIL.

Senate § 4031. No comparable House section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4006. FIRE ISLAND, ALASKA.

House § 5031. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 4007. KNIK ARM, COOK INLET, ALASKA.

House § 4005. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4008. KUSKOKWIM RIVER, ALASKA.
House § 4006. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4009. NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, ALASKA.

Senate § 4002. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4010. ST. GEORGE HARBOR, ALASKA.

House § 4007. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4011. SUSITNA RIVER, ALASKA.

House § 4008. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4012. VALDEZ, ALASKA.

House § 5037. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 4013. GILA BEND, MARICOPA, ARIZONA.

House § 4009. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4014. SEARCY COUNTY, ARKANSAS.

House § 4010. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4015. ALISO CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4011. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4016. FRESNO, KINGS, AND KERN COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4013. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4017. FRUITVALE AVENUE RAILROAD BRIDGE, ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 4004. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4018. LOS ANGELES RIVER REVITALIZATION STUDY, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4014, Senate § 4005. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4019. LYTLE CREEK, RIALTO, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4015. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4020. MOKELOMNE RIVER, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.
House § 4016. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4021. ORICK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4018. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4022. SHORELINE STUDY, OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 4007. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4023. RIALTO, FONTANA, AND COLTON, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4019. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4024. SACRAMENTO RIVER, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4020. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4025. SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4021. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4026. SAN FRANCISCO BAY, SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4022, Senate § 4009. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4027. SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO BAY SHORELINE, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4023, Senate § 4010. –House recedes.

SEC. 4028. TWENTYNINE PALMS, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4024. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 4029. YUCCA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4025. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 4030. SELENIUM STUDY, COLORADO.

Senate § 4013. No comparable House section. –House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 4031. DELAWARE AND CHRISTINA RIVERS AND SHELLPOT CREEK, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.

House § 4027. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.
SEC. 4032. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS AND TRIBUTARIES AND ATLANTIC COAST, DELAWARE.

Senate § 4014. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4033. COLLIER COUNTY BEACHES, FLORIDA.

House § 4028. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4034. LOWER ST. JOHNS RIVER, FLORIDA.

House § 4029. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 4035. HERBERT HOOVER DIKE SUPPLEMENTAL MAJOR REHABILITATION REPORT, FLORIDA.

Senate § 4015. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4036. VANDERBILT BEACH LAGOON, FLORIDA.

House § 4030. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4037. MERIWETHER COUNTY, GEORGIA.

House § 4031. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4038. BOISE RIVER, IDAHO.

House § 4033, Senate § 4016. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4039. BALLARD'S ISLAND SIDE CHANNEL, ILLINOIS.

House § 4034. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4040. CHICAGO, ILLINIOS.

Senate § 3046. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4041. SALEM, INDIANA.

House § 4035. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4042. BUCKHORN LAKE, KENTUCKY.

House § 4036. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4043. DEWEY LAKE, KENTUCKY.
House § 4037. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4044. LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY.

House § 4038. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4045. VIDALIA PORT, LOUISIANA.

Senate § 4018. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4046. FALL RIVER HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS AND RHODE ISLAND.

Senate § 3071(b). No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4047. CLINTON RIVER, MICHIGAN.

House § 4039. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4048. HAMBURG AND GREEN OAK TOWNSHIPS, MICHIGAN.

House § 4040. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4049. LAKE ERIE AT LUNA PIER, MICHIGAN.

Senate § 4019. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4050. DULUTH-SUPERIOR HARBOR, MINNESOTA AND WISCONSIN.

House § 4041. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4051. NORTHEAST MISSISSIPPI.

House § 4042. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4052. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL, NEW JERSEY.

House § 4044. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4053. BAYONNE, NEW JERSEY.

House § 4045. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4054. CARTERET, NEW JERSEY.

House § 4046. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4055. GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.
House § 4047. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4056. PERTH AMBOY, NEW JERSEY.

House § 4048. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 4057. BATAVIA, NEW YORK.

House § 4049. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4058. BIG SISTER CREEK, EVANS, NEW YORK.

House § 4050. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4059. FINGER LAKES, NEW YORK.

House § 4051. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4060. LAKE ERIE SHORELINE, BUFFALO, NEW YORK.

House § 4052. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4061. NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.

House § 4053. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4062. NIAGARA RIVER, NEW YORK.

House § 4054. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4063. SHORE PARKWAY GREENWAY, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK.

House § 4055. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4064. UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NEW YORK.

House § 4056. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4065. LINCOLN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.

House § 4057. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4066. WILKES COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.

House § 4058. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4067. YADKINVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.
House § 4059. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 4068. FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, OHIO.

Senate § 4022. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 4069. LAKE ERIE, OHIO.

House § 4060. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 4070. OHIO RIVER, OHIO.

House § 4061, Senate § 4024. — Same.

SEC. 4071. TOLEDO HARBOR DREDGED MATERIAL PLACEMENT, TOLEDO, OHIO.

Senate § 4025. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 4072. TOLEDO HARBOR, MAUMEE RIVER, AND LAKE CHANNEL PROJECT, TOLEDO, OHIO.

Senate § 4026. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 4073. ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FISH PASSAGE IMPROVEMENTS, OREGON.

House § 4062. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 4074. WALLA WALLA RIVER BASIN, OREGON.

House § 4063, Senate § 4038. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 4075. CHARTIERS CREEK WATERSHED, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 4064. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 4076. KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 4065. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 4077. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION.

House § 4066. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 4078. WILLIAMSPORT, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 4067. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
SEC. 4079. YARDLEY BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 4068. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4080. RIO VALENCIANO, JUNCOS, PUERTO RICO.

House § 4069. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4081. WOONSOCKET LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, BLACKSTONE RIVER BASIN, RHODE ISLAND.

Senate § 4027. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4082. CROOKED CREEK, BENNETTSVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA.

House § 4070. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4083. BROAD RIVER, YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.

House § 4071. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4084. SAVANNAH RIVER, SOUTH CAROLINA AND GEORGIA.

Senate § 4028. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4085. CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE.

House § 4072. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4086. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE.

House § 4073. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4087. CUMBERLAND RIVER, NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.

House § 4074. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4088. LEWIS, LAWRENCE, AND WAYNE COUNTIES, TENNESSEE.

House § 4075. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4089. WOLF RIVER AND NONCONNAH CREEK, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE.

House § 4076. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4090. ABILENE, TEXAS.
House § 4077. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4091. COASTAL TEXAS ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND RESTORATION, TEXAS.

House § 4078. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4092. PORT OF GALVESTON, TEXAS.

House § 4079. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4093. GRAND COUNTY AND MOAB, UTAH.

House § 4080. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4094. SOUTHWESTERN UTAH.

House § 4081. No comparable Senate Section. – Senate Recedes.

SEC. 4095. ECOSYSTEM AND HYDROPOWER GENERATION DAMS, VERMONT.

Senate § 4030. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 4096. ELLIOTT BAY SEAWALL, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON.

House § 4083, Senate § 4034. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4097. MONONGAHELA RIVER BASIN, NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 4084. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4098. KENOSHA HARBOR, WISCONSIN.

House § 4085. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4099. JOHNSONVILLE DAM, JOHNSONVILLE, WISCONSIN.

House § 4087, Senate § 4035. – Same.

SEC. 4100. WAUWATOSA, WISCONSIN.

House § 4086. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4101. DEBRIS REMOVAL.

Senate § 4036. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.
TITLE V—MISCELLANEOUS.

SEC. 5001. MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS.


5001(a)(2). Tampa Harbor, Sparkman Channel and Davis Island, Florida. No comparable Senate or House section.


5001(a)(7). Houston Ship Channel, Bayport Cruise Channel and Bayport Cruise turning basin, as part of the existing Bayport Channel, Texas. No comparable Senate or House section.


5001(a)(9). Jacintoport Channel at Houston Ship Channel, Texas. No comparable Senate or House section.


SEC. 5002. WATERSHED MANAGEMENT.

House § 5002. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

Subsection (d) of § 5002 authorizes the Secretary to provide technical assistance to non-federal interests for carrying out watershed management, restoration and development projects in the following locations: Charlotte Harbor watershed, Florida; Those portions of the watersheds of the Chattahoochee, Etowah, Flint, Ocmulgee, and Oconee Rivers lying within the counties of Bartow, Cherokee, Clay, Cobb, Coweta, DeKalb, Douglas, Fayette, Fulton, Forsyth, Gwinnett, Hall, Henry, Paulding, Rockdale, and Walton, Georgia; Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois; Amite River basin, Louisiana; East Atchafalaya River basin, Iberville Parish and Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana; Red River watershed, Louisiana; Taunton River basin, Massachusetts; Marlboro Township, New Jersey; Esopus, Pattekill, and Rondout Creeks, Greene, Sullivan, and Ulster Counties, New York; Greenwood Lake watershed, New York and New Jersey; Long Island Sound watershed, New York; Ramapo River watershed, New York; Tuscarawas River basin, Ohio; Western Lake Erie basin, Ohio; Those portions of the watersheds of the Beaver, Upper Ohio, Connoquenessing, Lower Allegheny, Kiskiminetas, Lower Monongahela, Youghiogheny, Shenango, and Mahoning Rivers lying within the counties of Beaver, Butler, Lawrence, and Mercer, Pennsylvania; Otter Creek watershed, Pennsylvania; Unami Creek watershed, Milford Township, Pennsylvania; and Sauk River basin, Washington.
SEC. 5003. DAM SAFETY.

House § 5003. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

Section 5003(a) authorizes the Secretary to provide assistance to enhance dam safety at the following locations: Keith Creek, Rockford, Illinois; Mount Zion Mill Pond Dam, Fulton County, Indiana; Fish Creek Dam, Blaine County, Idaho; Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan; Congers Lake Dam, Rockland County, New York; Lake Lucille Dam, New City, New York; Peconic River Dams, town of Riverhead, Suffolk, Long Island, New York; Pine Grove Lakes Dam, Sloatsburg, New York; State Dam, Auburn, New York; Whaley Lake Dam, Pawling, New York; Brightwood Dam, Concord Township, Ohio; Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania; Leaser Lake Dam, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania; Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania; Wissahickon Creek Dam, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.

SEC. 5004. STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS.

House § 5004. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5005. FLOOD MITIGATION PRIORITY AREAS.

House § 5005. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

Section 5005(a)(3) adds the following locations to Section 212(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)): Ascension Parish, Louisiana; East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana; Iberville Parish, Louisiana; Livingston Parish, Louisiana; and Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana.

SEC. 5006. ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR AUTHORIZED PROJECTS.

House § 5006, Senate § 3008. – Senate recedes.

The managers recognize that in carrying out the project for the Colonias along the United States-Mexico border, the Secretary may provide assistance to projects in Webb, Zapata, Starr, and Hidalgo counties, Texas.

SEC. 5007. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF REPORTS AND CONSTRUCTION FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS.

House § 5007, 5038, and 7010(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

Section 5007 directs the Secretary to expedite completion of the reports, and if the Secretary finds that the project is feasible, to expedite completion of construction of following projects: Project for navigation, Whittier, Alaska; Laguna Creek watershed flood damage reduction project, California; Daytona Beach shore protection project, Florida; Flagler Beach shore protection project, Florida; St. Johns County shore protection project,
Florida; Chenier Plain environmental restoration project, Louisiana; False River, Louisiana; Fulmer Creek, Village of Mohawk, New York; Moyer Creek, Village of Frankfort, New York; Steele Creek, Village of Ilion, New York; Oriskany Wildlife Management Area, Rome, New York; Whitney Point Lake, Otselic River, Whitney Point, New York; North River, Peabody, Massachusetts; and Chenango Lake, Chenango County, New York.

The managers request that a timetable for the execution and completion of a feasibility cost-sharing agreement and initiation of construction of the Laguna Creek watershed flood damage reduction project, Fremont, California, be provided to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives within 90 days of the enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007.

SEC. 5008. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF REPORTS FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS.

House § 5008(a), Senate § 4012. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

Section 5008(a) directs the Secretary to expedite completion of the following reports, and, if the Secretary determines that the project is justified, authorizes the Secretary to proceed to project preconstruction, engineering and design: Project for water supply, Little Red River, Arkansas; Watershed study, Fountain Creek, north of Pueblo, Colorado; Project for shoreline stabilization, Egmont Key, Florida; Project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas and Louisiana; and Project for ecosystem restoration, University Lake, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

In carrying out the review of the project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas and Louisiana, referred to in subsection (a)(3), the Secretary is directed to utilize all current available data, models, and analyses to facilitate the scheduled completion of the Chief of Engineers report.

House § 5008(b). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5009. SOUTHEASTERN WATER RESOURCES ASSESSMENT.

House § 5009. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5010. MISSOURI AND MIDDLE MISSISSIPPI RIVERS ENHANCEMENT PROJECT.

House § 5011, Senate § 3109. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5011. GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROGRAM.

House § 5012, Senate § 3141. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5012. GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PLANS AND SEDIMENT REMEDIATION.
House § 5013, Senate § 3142. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5013. GREAT LAKES TRIBUTARY MODELS.

House § 5014, Senate § 3143. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5014. GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION AND PROTECTION.

House § 5015 and 5016, Senate § 5029. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The Great Lakes contain 134 deep-draft harbors and six connecting channels within the Corps of Engineers’ dredging responsibility, including 25 of the nation’s largest ports. The total waterborne commerce on the Great Lakes equals nearly 7 percent of the nation’s maritime commerce. Recent shortfalls in the Corps’ dredging appropriation have delayed dredging at many Great Lakes ports and waterways. The low water levels that have plagued the Lakes since the late 1990s have only exacerbated the problem. As a result, the largest vessels in the Great Lakes fleet must forfeit nearly 270 tons of cargo for each 1-inch reduction in loaded draft. Ocean-going vessels in the international trade lose roughly 100 tons of cargo for each 1-inch loss of draft.

Section 5014(a) directs the Secretary, using available appropriated funds, to expedite the operation and maintenance, including dredging, of the navigation features of the Great Lakes and Connecting Channels for the purpose of supporting commercial navigation to authorized project depths.

SEC. 5015. SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY.

House § 5017. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5016. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER DISPERsal BARRIER PROJECT.

House § 5018, Senate § 4021. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5017. ESTUARY RESTORATION.

Senate § 5002. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5018. MISSOURI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MITIGATION, RECOVERY, AND RESTORATION, IOWA, KANSAS, MISSOURI, MONTANA, NEBRASKA, NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA, AND WYOMING.

Senate § 5016. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5019. SUSQUEHANNA, DELAWARE, AND POTOMAC RIVER BASINS, DELAWARE, MARYLAND, PENNSYLVANIA, AND VIRGINIA.

House § 5019, Senate § 5010. – House recedes.
SEC. 5020. CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND PROTECTION PROGRAM.

House § 5020, Senate § 3068. — Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5021. CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RESTORATION, VIRGINIA AND MARYLAND.

Senate § 3124. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 5022. HYPOXIA ASSESSMENT.

House § 5021. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5023. POTOMAC RIVER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT AND TRIBUTARY STRATEGY EVALUATION AND MONITORING PROGRAM.

House § 5022. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5024. LOCK AND DAM SECURITY.

House § 5023. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5025. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FOR COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON SURVIVAL.

House § 5025. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5026. WAGE SURVEYS.

House § 5135. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5027. REHABILITATION.

House § 5024. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5028. AUBURN, ALABAMA.

House § 5026. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5029. PINHOOK CREEK, HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA.

House § 5027. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5030. ALASKA.

House § 5028, Senate § 5004. — Senate recedes.
SEC. 5031. BARROW, ALASKA.
House § 5029. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5032. LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, SEWARD, ALASKA.
House § 5034. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5033. ST. HERMAN AND ST. PAUL HARBORS, KODIAK, ALASKA.
House § 5035, Senate § 3001. – Same.

SEC. 5034. TANANA RIVER, ALASKA.
House § 5036. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5035. WRANGETT HARBOR, ALASKA.
House § 5039. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5036. AUGUSTA AND CLARENDON, ARKANSAS.
House § 5040, Senate § 3007. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5037. DES ARC LEVEE PROTECTION, ARKANSAS.
House § 5041. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5038. LOOMIS LANDING, ARKANSAS.
House § 5042. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5039. CALIFORNIA.
Senate § 5005. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5040. CALAVERAS RIVER AND LITTLEJOHN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.
Senate § 5007. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5041. CAMBRIA, CALIFORNIA.
House § 5044. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5042. CONTRA COSTA CANAL, OAKLEY AND KNIGHTSEN, CALIFORNIA; MALLARD SLOUGH, PITTSBURG, CALIFORNIA.
House § 5045. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5043. DANA POINT HARBOR, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5046. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5044. EAST SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5047. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5045. EASTERN SANTA CLARA BASIN, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5048. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5046. LA-3 DREDGED MATERIAL OCEAN DISPOSAL SITE DESIGNATION, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3016. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5047. LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5049. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5048. LOS OSOS, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5050. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5049. PINE FLAT DAM FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5051, Senate § 3021. – House recedes.

SEC. 5050. RAYMOND BASIN, SIX BASINS, CHINO BASIN, AND SAN GABRIEL BASIN, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5052. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5051. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5053. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5052. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, WATERFRONT AREA.

House § 5054, Senate § 3025. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5053. SAN PABLO BAY, CALIFORNIA, WATERSHED AND SUI SUN MARSH ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.
House § 5055, Senate § 4011. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5054. ST. HELENA, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 4008. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5055. UPPER CALAVERAS RIVER, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5056. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5056. RIO GRANDE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, COLORADO, NEW MEXICO, AND TEXAS.

Senate § 5008, House § 5002(d)(9). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5057. CHARLES HERVEY TOWNSHEND BREAKWATER, NEW HAVEN HARBOR, CONNECTICUT.

House § 5057, Senate § 3030. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5058. STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5059. DELMARVA CONSERVATION CORRIDOR, DELAWARE, MARYLAND, AND VIRGINIA.

House § 5081, Senate § 5009. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5060. ANACOSTIA RIVER, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND MARYLAND.

House § 5080, Senate § 5011. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5061. EAST CENTRAL AND NORTHEAST FLORIDA.
House § 5060. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5062. FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS.

House § 5058. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5063. LAKE WORTH, FLORIDA.

House § 5059. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 5064. BIG CREEK, GEORGIA, WATERSHED MANAGEMENT AND RESTORATION PROGRAM.

Senate § 5012. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 5065. METROPOLITAN NORTH GEORGIA WATER PLANNING DISTRICT.

Senate § 5013. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 5066. SAVANNAH, GEORGIA.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5067. IDAHO, MONTANA, RURAL NEVADA, NEW MEXICO, RURAL UTAH, AND WYOMING.

Senate § 5014. No comparable House section. — House recedes.

SEC. 5068. RILEY CREEK RECREATION AREA, IDAHO.

House § 5062. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
SEC. 5069. FLOODPLAIN MAPPING, LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.

House § 5066. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5070. RECONSTRUCTION OF ILLINOIS AND MISSOURI FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECTS.

House § 5063, Senate § 3049. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5071. ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION.

House § 5064, Senate § 3048. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5072. PROMONTORY POINT THIRD-PARTY REVIEW, CHICAGO SHORELINE, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.

House § 5067, Senate § 4017. House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5073. KASKASKIA RIVER BASIN, ILLINOIS, RESTORATION.

House § 5065. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5074. SOUTHWEST ILLINOIS.

House § 5066. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5075. CALUMET REGION, INDIANA.

House § 5070. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5076. FLOODPLAIN MAPPING, MISSOURI RIVER, IOWA.

House § 5071. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5077. PADUCAH, KENTUCKY.

House § 5072. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5078. SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY.

House § 5073. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5079. WINCHESTER, KENTUCKY.

House § 5074. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5080. BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.
House § 5075. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5081. CALCASIEU SHIP CHANNEL, LOUISIANA.

House § 5076. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5082. EAST ATCHAFALAYA BASIN AND AMITE RIVER BASIN REGION, LOUISIANA.

House § 5077. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5083. INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK PROJECT, LOUISIANA.

Senate § 5028. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5084. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LOUISIANA.

No comparable Senate or House section.

SEC. 5085. SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA REGION, LOUISIANA.

Senate § 5017. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5086. WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LOUISIANA.

House § 5078. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5087. CHARLESTOWN, MARYLAND.

House § 5079. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5088. ST. MARY'S RIVER, MARYLAND.

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 5089. MASSACHUSETTS DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITES.

House § 5082. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5090. ONTONAGON HARBOR, MICHIGAN.

House § 5083. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5091. CROOKSTON, MINNESOTA.

House § 5084. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
SEC. 5092. GARRISON AND KATHIO TOWNSHIP, MINNESOTA.

House § 5085. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5093. ITASCA COUNTY, MINNESOTA.

House § 5086. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5094. MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA.

House § 5087. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5095. NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA.

House § 5088. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5096. WILD RICE RIVER, MINNESOTA.

House § 5089, Senate § 4020. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5097. MISSISSIPPI.

Senate § 5018. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5098. HARRISON, HANCOCK, AND JACKSON COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI.

House § 5090. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5099. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MISSOURI AND ILLINOIS.

House § 5091. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5100. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.

House § 5092. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5101. ST. LOUIS REGIONAL GREENWAYS, ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is
frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5102. MISSOULA, MONTANA.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5103. ST. MARY PROJECT, GLACIER COUNTY, MONTANA.

Senate § 5019. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

In carrying out this section, the managers expect the Secretary to conduct all hiring and contracting in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Indian Self Determination Act.

SEC. 5104. LOWER PLATTE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, NEBRASKA.

Senate § 5020, House § 5002(d)(8). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5105. HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS AREA, NEW JERSEY.

House § 5093. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5106. ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YORK.

House § 5094. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5107. COLLEGE POINT, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK.

House § 5095. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
SEC. 5108. FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK.

House § 5096. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5109. HUDSON RIVER, NEW YORK.

House § 5097. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5110. MOUNT MORRIS DAM, NEW YORK.

House § 5098. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5111. NORTH HEMPSTED AND GLEN COVE NORTH SHORE WATERSHED RESTORATION, NEW YORK.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5112. ROCHESTER, NEW YORK.
No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5113. NORTH CAROLINA.

Senate § 5021. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5114. STANLY COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.

House § 5100. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5115. JOHN H. KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, NORTH CAROLINA.

House § 5099. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5116. CINCINNATI, OHIO.

House § 5101. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5117. OHIO RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.
Senate § 5022. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5118. TOUSSAINT RIVER NAVIGATION PROJECT, CARROLL TOWNSHIP, OHIO.

House § 5102, Senate § 3095. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5119. STATEWIDE COMPREHENSIVE WATER PLANNING, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 5023. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5120. FERN RIDGE DAM, OREGON.

House § 5104. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5121. ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 5105. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5122. CLINTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 5106. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5123. KEHLY RUN DAMS, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 5107. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5124. LEHIGH RIVER, LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 5108. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5125. NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 5109. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5126. UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN, PENNSYLVANIA AND NEW YORK.

House § 5110, Senate § 3105. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5127. CANO MARTIN PENA, SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO.

House § 5111. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5128. LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH CAROLINA.

No comparable House or Senate section.
SEC. 5129. CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX TRIBE, AND TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT RESTORATION, SOUTH DAKOTA.

House § 5112, Senate § 5024. – Same.

SEC. 5130. EAST TENNESSEE.

House § 5113. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5131. FRITZ LANDING, TENNESSEE.

House § 5114. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5132. J. PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TENNESSEE.

House § 5115. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5133. NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5134. NONCONNAH WEIR, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE.

Senate § 3110. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5135. TENNESSEE RIVER PARTNERSHIP.

House § 5117. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5136. TOWN CREEK, LEVORCITY, TENNESSEE.

House § 5116. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
SEC. 5137. UPPER MISSISSIPPI EMBAYMENT, TENNESSEE, ARKANSAS, AND MISSISSIPPI.

House § 5118. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5138. TEXAS.

Senate § 5025. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5139. BOSQUE RIVER WATERSHED, TEXAS.

House § 5119. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5140. DALLAS COUNTY REGION, TEXAS.

House § 5120. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5141. DALLAS FLOODWAY, DALLAS, TEXAS.

House § 5121. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5142. HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

House § 5122, Senate § 3117. – House recedes.

SEC. 5143. JOHNSON CREEK, ARLINGTON, TEXAS.

House § 5123, Senate § 4029. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5144. ONION CREEK, TEXAS.

House § 5124. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5145. CONNECTICUT RIVER DAMS, VERMONT.

Senate § 5026. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

Sec. 5146. LAKE CHAMPLAIN CANAL, VERMONT AND NEW YORK.

Senate § 4032. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5147. DYKE MARSH, FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

House § 5126. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5148. EASTERN SHORE AND SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA.

House § 5125. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
SEC. 5149. JAMES RIVER, VIRGINIA.

Senate § 3125. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5150. BAKER BAY AND ILWACO HARBOR, WASHINGTON.

House § 5127, Senate § 4033. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5151. HAMILTON ISLAND CAMPGROUND, WASHINGTON.

House § 5128. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5152. EROSION CONTROL, PUGET ISLAND, WAHKIAKUM COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

House § 5129, Senate § 3127. – House recedes.

SEC. 5153. WILLAPA BAY, WASHINGTON.

House § 5130. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5154. WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL.

House § 5131. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5155. CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 5132. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5156. SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 5133. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5157. CONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.

House § 5134, Senate § 2011. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

(12) Perris, California
(13) Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois.
(14) Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana.
(15) Buffalo Bayou, Texas.
(16) Halls Bayou, Texas.
(17) Menomonee River Watershed, Wisconsin.

SEC. 5158. ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR CRITICAL PROJECTS.
House § 5136, Senate § 5003. House recedes, with an amendment.

**TITLE VI – FLORIDA EVERGLADES**

SEC. 6001. HILLSBORO AND OKEECHOBEE AQUIFER, FLORIDA.

House § 6001, Senate § 3037. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6002. PILOT PROJECTS.

House § 6002. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6003. MAXIMUM COSTS.

House § 6004, Senate § 3034. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6004. CREDIT.

House § 6006. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

The managers are concerned about the practice of the non-Federal sponsor performing work on the project without a written agreement with the Corps, and then relying upon legislation to receive credit against the non-Federal share. Consistent with section 2003 of this bill, for future work to be considered eligible for credit, it must be performed under a written agreement with the Secretary.

SEC. 6005. OUTREACH AND ASSISTANCE.

House § 6007. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 6006. CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS.

House § 6008, Senate § 3036. House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6007. REGIONAL ENGINEERING MODEL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.

House § 6011. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

**INITIAL PROJECTS, COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PLAN, FLORIDA.**

The managers have agreed to delete House section 6003 that would have increased the maximum cost for three initial projects of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) – Water Conservation Areas 3A/3B, Levee Seepage Management, C-11 Impoundment and Stormwater Treatment Area, and C-9 Impoundment and Stormwater.
Treatment Area. These projects are still undergoing study and final cost estimates are not available. Project components of CERP have seen their cost estimates vary widely during the project formulation and design phases. The managers support the completion of the studies on these projects prior to taking action on their cost estimates. Until the final project implementation report recommends final cost estimates, the managers believe that it is premature to enact new cost figures.

The project implementation reports for the three projects are projected to be completed in 2008. The managers expect to consider the correct authorization levels for these projects in a water resources bill next year.

MODIFIED WATER DELIVERIES PROJECT, FLORIDA.

The Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989, Public Law 101-229, (1989 Act), authorized the expansion of Everglades National Park (Park), a change to more natural water deliveries to the Park, and flood damage reduction measures for the area known as the eight and one-half square mile area. Of the three activities, there still has been no change in water deliveries to the Park. Without a change in water delivery to the Park, restoration of the Everglades, and many of the projects authorized as components of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) in 2000, will not succeed.

To achieve more natural water deliveries to the Park, it is necessary to modify the way water crosses under the Tamiami Trail Highway. The managers of the bill are concerned that nearly 18 years have passed since the 1989 Act, and the restoration of more natural water flows has not occurred. While the House bill contained language directing a particular option toward restoring flows, the Corps of Engineers and other interested parties have indicated that the “two-bridge” option may not be the preferred solution. However, the managers are concerned that continuing re-analysis of options for modifying water deliveries will only delay benefits to the Everglades.

The managers have observed proposals related to improved water deliveries to the Park come and go over the years, yet the more natural flows to the Park do not occur. It is time for the Chief of Engineers to implement measures to improve water deliveries and adopt an adaptive management approach toward restoring flows.

The managers have agreed to delete the House language on the two-bridge option. The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to re-examine options to modify the water delivery to the Park. However, the managers also direct the Chief of Engineer to pursue immediate steps to increase flows to the Park of at least 1400 cubic feet per second, without significantly increasing the risk of roadbed failure. Flows less than 1400 cubic feet per second will not produce measurable benefits to the Park.

The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to proceed with increasing flows to the Park upon the completion of the eight and one-half square mile area construction this fall. Completing that construction removes the current constraint on water levels within the Northeast Shark River Slough area of the Park.
The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to re-examine the prior reports and environmental documentation associated with modifying water deliveries to the Park prepared under the 1989 Act, and to evaluate the practicable alternatives for increasing the flow of water under the highway and into the Park. The recommendations resulting from this re-examination are to be for improving flows in a manner that is consistent with the direction in the 1989 Act that the Secretary of the Army construct modifications "to improve water deliveries into the park and shall, to the extent practicable, take steps to restore the natural hydrological conditions within the Park." The managers direct that the flows to the Park have a minimum target of 4000 cubic feet per second so as to address the restoration envisioned in the 1989 Act.

The Chief of Engineers is to develop the recommendations in consultation with the Department of the Interior, the Department of Transportation, the Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida, the Seminole Tribe of Florida, and the State of Florida, and shall consider environmental benefits produced, cost, related CERP improvements, and other relevant factors.

The recommendations of the Chief of Engineers shall identify a plan for increasing and distributing water flows to the Park through project components that take into account the fact that a subsequent project involving modifications to the Tamiami Trail Highway may be accomplished under the authority of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000. Modifications that are not compatible with that project or are duplicative should be avoided.

The recommendations of the Chief of Engineers shall be available for public review and comment consistent with applicable law, and shall be submitted to Congress not later than July 1, 2008.

Concurrent with the preparation of recommendations for modifying water deliveries under the 1989 Act, the managers direct the Chief of Engineers to initiate an evaluation of the Tamiami Trail project component of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan authorized by section 601(b)(2)(C)(viii) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000, or other appropriate authorities, as soon as practicable. The recommendations shall include an evaluation of modifying Tamiami Trail from Krome Avenue to the boundary of the Big Cypress National Park to restore natural flows and ecological connectivity through the Park to Florida Bay. Upon completion of these recommendations the Chief of Engineers shall submit the recommendations to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

The House language in section 6009 also addressed cost allocations between the Secretary and the Secretary of the Interior. The managers direct that any arrangements for sharing of costs between the Secretaries be prospective only. The managers do not support any arrangement where the Secretary of the Interior is credited with expenditures for land acquisition toward the costs of modifying the water delivery to the Park. These costs represent separate responsibilities within the missions of the Department of the Army and the Department of the Interior, and the costs of one should not be used to offset the costs of the other.
TITLE VII – LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA.

SEC. 7001. DEFINITIONS.

House § 7001. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7002. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

House § 7002, Senate § 1003(h). – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7003. LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA.

House § 7003, Senate § 1003(a) and (b). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7004. COASTAL LOUISIANA ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND RESTORATION TASK FORCE.

House § 7004, Senate § 1003(l). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7005. PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.

House § 7005, Senate § 1003(m). – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7006. CONSTRUCTION.

House § 7006, Senate § 1003(c), (d), (e), (f) and (j). – House recedes, with an amendment.

For the benefit of the Louisiana coastal area, the managers have authorized a number of projects and programs. In the case of the Additional Projects authorized in section 7006(e), the managers have authorized 4 projects for construction and have authorized 6 other projects contingent upon a Chief's Report being completed no later than December 31, 2010. The managers understand that the 4 projects authorized for construction are closer to having a completed study than are the other 6 projects. The managers expect the Secretary to plan and construct all of these projects on a priority and a schedule that maximizes the efficient and timely delivery of benefits.

SEC. 7007. NON-FEDERAL COST SHARE.

House § 7007, Senate § 1003(g). – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7008. PROJECT JUSTIFICATION.

House § 7008, Senate § 1003(k). – Senate recedes.

SEC. 7009. INDEPENDENT REVIEW.
House § 7009, Senate § 1003(n). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7010. EXPEDITED REPORTS.

House § 7010, Senate § 1003(t). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7011. REPORTING.

House § 7011. No comparable Senate provision. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 7012. NEW ORLEANS AND VICINITY.

House § 7012, Senate § 1003(p). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7013. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET.

House § 7013, Senate § 1003(s). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7014. HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION.

Senate § 1003(u). No comparable House provision. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7015. LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW.

Senate § 1003(q). No comparable House provision. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7016. LOWER JEFFERSON PARISH, LOUISIANA.

Senate § 1003(r). No comparable House provision. – House recedes, with an amendment.

TITLE VIII – UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND ILLINOIS WATERWAY SYSTEM.

SEC. 8001. DEFINITIONS.

House § 8001, Senate § 1002(a). – Same.

SEC. 8002. NAVIGATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS AND RESTORATION.

House § 8002. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 8003. AUTHORIZATION OF CONSTRUCTION OF NAVIGATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS.

House § 8003, Senate § 1002(b). – House recedes, with an amendment.
SEC. 8004. ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AUTHORIZATION.

House § 8004, Senate § 1002(c). — Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 8005. COMPARABLE PROGRESS.

House § 8005, Senate § 1002(d). — Senate recedes.

TITLE IX – NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY PROGRAM.

SEC. 9001. SHORT TITLE.

Senate § 2051. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9002. DEFINITIONS.

Senate § 2052. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9003. COMMITTEE ON LEVEE SAFETY.

Senate § 2053 and 2054. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9004. INVENTORY AND INSPECTION OF LEVEES.

Senate § 2054. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9005. LIMITATIONS ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 9006. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Senate § 2055. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

ADDITIONAL MATTERS

The managers request the Secretary make it a priority to reimburse non-federal project sponsors for carrying out federal projects in accordance with cooperative agreements. These projects provide benefits to the federal taxpayer and the Corps of Engineers should make every effort to reimburse non-federal project sponsors the appropriate amount in a timely manner. In one instance, Manatee County, Florida carried out the Anna Maria Island beach re-nourishment under a cooperative agreement with the Army Corps of Engineers for construction of the Manatee County Shore Protection Project in 2002. For Fiscal Year 2002, Congress appropriated $1 million for the project, and in
Fiscal Year 2003, Congress appropriated $3.5 million for the project. Yet, Manatee County has received only $2.3 million in reimbursement from the Army Corps of Engineers and is still owed over $1.7 million for work that was completed in 2002. Many local communities and other non-federal project sponsors that undertake federal projects put their financial security at stake and timely reimbursement by the Corps of Engineers is critical to their economic prosperity.

The Corps recently determined that the stability of Wolf Creek Dam is threatened by seepage under and around the dam, increasing the risk of catastrophic failure. The managers recognize that the Corps has cited an extreme concern for safety and lowered the level of Lake Cumberland dramatically to mitigate the risk of failure. The managers recognize that the Nashville District of the Corps has recommended that this project be classified as a dam safety project and therefore subject to reimbursement rates in accordance with the Dam Safety Act. Given the threat to safety as cited by the Corps and the recommendation by the Corps district office, the managers urge the administration to accept the recommendation of the Corps to classify this project as dam safety, and to finalize such a decision as soon as possible.

The managers have increasingly heard concerns from members of Congress regarding the backlog in the processing of permits under section 404 of the Clean Water Act. In particular, the Jacksonville District of the Corps of Engineers processes 1/8 of all the permits nationwide. The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to examine the permitting workload and consider alternatives for better distribution of the workload. The managers also direct the Chief of Engineers to work with States using current authorities to minimize the time required for the Corps to respond to permit applications.

**COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI**

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure is required to include a list of congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits (as defined in clause 9(d), 9(e), or 9(f) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives) in the Conference Report. The Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure requires Members of Congress to comply with all requirements of clause 9(d), 9(e), or 9(f) of rule XXI. The following table provides the list of such provisions included in the Conference Report:

[insert Rule XXI Table]