#### "A P R O J E C T O F N A T I O N A L S I G N I F I C A N C E"



#### Ε Α Μ Ε **C O** R R I D R Α Α Η L Т D 0

### **Presentation Topics**

**The Alameda Corridor Project** 

**Corridor Performance** 

**Goods Movement Challenges** 

## Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach

- Largest port complex in the U.S.
- Fifth largest in the world
- Highest throughput per acre in U.S.
- \$256B in trade annually
- Nearly 40% of all waterborne U.S. trade
- Nearly 60% of all Asian imports
- Over 60% of imports distributed to rest of Nation



### Top 10 U.S. Container Ports in 2006



Twenty-Foot Equivalent Units (TEU) (millions)

Source: AAPA

### Top 10 World Container Ports in 2006



Source: Containerization International



### San Pedro Bay Projected Container Growth



7

### Intermodal Goods Movement



### Value of Containerized Trade Through Los Angeles and Long Beach





### **The Corridor**

An environmental mitigation project

A capacity enhancement project



- 22-Mile 40 m.p.h. Rail Corridor
- Consolidates 4 Branch Lines (10 m.p.h.)
- Reduced Conflicts at 200 Grade Crossings
- 10-Mile Trench Section
- 4 Million Cu. Yds. Excavation
- 50 Grade Separations and Bridges
- 2,000 Utility Interfaces
- Nearly 100 Miles of New Track with CTC

### ACTA Construction Program

\$1.2B construction budget

- 23 construction contracts (1998-2002)
- \$785M Mid-Corridor Trench Design-Build Contract (39 Months)

### **Design-Build Results**

Saved 14-20 months
Obtained quality construction
Contractor-initiated changes less than 3%
Achieved 22% DBE goal
Achieved job training and local hire goals





### On time

- Under budget
- Open for business April 15, 2002
- 110 trains first 3 days



### Post Corridor Completion Activities

Pacific Coast Highway project

- Anaheim Street Pump Station project
- Additional railroad projects
- Federal loan refinancing
- Colton Crossing Feasibility Study
- SR-47 Environmental Documents

## Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority

- California Joint Powers Authority
- Created by the Cities of Long Beach and Los Angeles in 1989
- A single purpose agency
- Governed by a seven-member board (Cities, Ports, LACMTA)

# Sources of Funding



 \* Federal Loan was Repaid on May 6, 2004 with \$172 Million in Interest

Total Project Cost: \$2.43 Billion

18

# Alameda Corridor Fees (per TEU)

Туре	<u>Fee</u>	% of Total Revenue	
Waterborne Full	\$18.04	94%*	
Waterborne Empty	\$4.57	4.5%	
Non-Waterborne Full or Empty	\$4.57	<1%	
Other Loaded Railcars (per Car)	<b>\$9.13</b>	<1%	

\* 64% Use Fee, 30% Container Charge

### **Annual Performance Comparison**



Note: Numbers in () = Daily Average for Year \* (Railroad Self Assessed) Top:Trucked Around CorridorBottom:Uses Corridor

Top:Trucked Around CorridorBottom:Uses Corridor

### **Environmental Performance**

- Over 2,300 tons of NO<sub>x</sub> and PM removed
- For every ton removed by improved rail speed a ½ ton is removed from idling vehicles at crossings
- Does not include truck emissions removed due to Corridor use
- One train is the equivalent of 250-280 trucks
- Rail is more energy efficient and less polluting on a ton-mile basis than trucks

# Annual Emissions Reductions (tons/year)

Year	ROG	CO	NOx	PM10	SOx	Total
2002*	85.8	822.4	324.7	13.2	5.5	1,251.6
2003	84.2	778.3	407.3	16.8	7.2	1,293.8
2004	83.9	771.2	438.2	18.4	7.7	1,319.4
2005	81.0	728.8	452.0	18.9	4.7	1,285.4
2006	91.0	750.2	631.1	23.1	0.7	1,496.1
Cumulative	425.9	3,850.9	2,253.3	90.4	25.8	6,646.3

\* True benefits start in April 2002 with the new Corridor and are not annualized.

### Other Environmental Benefits

- Grade crossing delays
- Train stops
- Locomotive hours
- Noise & vibration
- Aesthetics



Before

Reduced 90% Reduced 75% Reduced 30% Reduced 90% Greatly improved



After

### Is the Corridor Running at Capacity?

- Corridor was built with excess capacity to meet port cargo demands of the future – 2020 and beyond
- Average number of trains per day for the year-to-date is 55 (train every 26 minutes)
- Corridor has practical "capacity" of over 150 daily train movements (train every 10 minutes)

# Why Can't All Trucks be Shifted to Rail?

- Rail only economical for trips over 800 miles
- Trucks are needed for all local and regional distribution
- Truck trips to downtown rail yards and inland distribution centers can possibly be shifted to rail

### The Future of Goods Movement

- International trade and population are growing rapidly
- Existing infrastructure needs upgrading to keep pace
- New funding is limited to non-existent
- If funding was available, it would take years to plan and build projects
- Construction will cause added congestion
- In the interim, must optimize use of existing infrastructure



### **ACTA's Expanded Mission**

### **Initiatives**

- 1. Extended Terminal Gate Hours
- 2. Increase Use of On-Dock Facilities
- 3. Shuttle Trains
- 4. New Near-Dock Rail Facility
- 5. SR-47 Project

Optimizes use of existing infrastructure

- 6. Participate in Goods Movement Studies
- 7. Funding Options
- 8. Empty Container Storage Survey
- 9. Inland Truck Depots

### Regional Benefits of Trade Growth

- SCAG region dropped from 4<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> in average payroll per job (1991-2001)
- 550,000 existing logistics jobs have helped to replace lost manufacturing jobs
- These jobs do not require advanced schooling
- 1.3M more jobs, if projected trade growth can be accommodated

### **Growth Impediments**

Air quality issues Terminal capacity Labor availability Trucker availability Rail capacity and grade separations Freeway capacity

### **Alameda Corridor East**

