## Case Study – Team #4

Manuel Almira – Port of Palm Beach Maxine Buckles – Port of Houston Authority Adrian Hilaire – St. Lucia Air & Sea Port Authority Julianna Marler – Port of Vancouver USA

# STRENGTHS & WEAKNESSES

#### Silasville

#### Strengths

- Diverse revenue streams (bulk, containers & general cargo)
- Multiple stevedoring/agency company relations
- Lower labor costs (non-union)
- Board & management alignment
- State of the art terminals (expansion through technology)
- Creative use of technology
- Top regional market position
- Positive image/reputation in the community
- Economic impact of \$5.5 billion
- Short Channel (5 versus12 miles)
- More experience in container cargo
- Intermodal rail access

- Expansion constraint
- Challenged by environmental, lifestyle protection advocates, historic preservationists

Weaknesses

- Limited borrowing capacity
- Potential transportation issues (location of intermodal marshaling yards)

# STRENGTHS & WEAKNESSES

Riverton	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul> <li>1,000 acres available for expansion</li> <li>Intermodal rail access</li> <li>Access to additional debt service funding</li> <li>Stable revenue base through leases</li> <li>Lower operation costs (less labor costs)</li> <li>Remote industrial location <ul> <li>✓ less residential concerns</li> <li>✓ less transportation issues</li> </ul> </li> <li>Support of community (port development)</li> <li>\$3.1 billion economic impact</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Less diversified (primarily containers)</li> <li>Longer channel (12 versus 5 miles)</li> </ul>

- Which port is in a stronger position for success over the next 20 years?
  - Riverton\*
    - Easier Access to Funding
    - Available Space for Expansion
    - Little or no resistance from environmentalists
- Which port is at greater risk commercially?
  - Silasville
    - Limited near term capacity
    - Limited diversification of cargo and revenue sources
- Which port is at greater risk in terms of constituent evaluation?
  - Silasville
    - By environmentalists, lifestyle protection advocates and historic preservationists

\*Depending on how much acreage Silasville uses outside current container ship terminals, they could potentially eliminate bulk and general cargos to increase container terminal capacity.

- Will the carrier's desire to have more control over its terminal operations be a major issue in its decisions?
  - Yes. They have made it clear that in long term commitments preference would be to control all terminal operation functions.

- Give brief examples of how this case defines the changes in the nature of public challenges to port development.
  - Opposition in expansion of port facilities by environmentalists, lifestyle advocates and historic preservationists – potential increased permitting requirements and timeline
  - "Tank" containers not well received by the Silasville community
  - Encroachment of ports by surrounding residential communities
  - Increased awareness of economic significance to the community

- > The case mentions two forms of financial subsidy. Identify them and offer a summary opinion as to the merits of such subsidy.
  - General obligation bonds debt service funded by ad valorem taxes (generally lower cost option – tax exempt)
  - Revenue bonds debt service partially funded by operation revenue streams (puts pressure on operational revenue stream)

- Summarize Century's strategic goals:
  - Expand regional TEU throughput (double) to 3.8 million TEU's within 15 years
  - Consolidate operations
  - Control terminal operations
  - Formulate a financial package that reflects its contribution to regional economic growth

- What does the carrier have in mind when it invites the port authorities to become its "planning partners":
  - Trying to get "buy in" from the community
  - Long term success
  - Intent is to include both ports as stakeholders to minimize negative or unintended consequences in the community
  - Put pressure on the ports to be in a position to seize the opportunity to create a required business environment
  - Increase market share of container shipments