

Presentation

Marine Terminal Lease Rate Determination Methods

Port Executive Management Seminar

Merida, Mexico

December 2, 2014









PUERTOS Y MARINA MERCANTE

COORDINACIÓN GENERAL





Background

CH2M HILL assisted a port client in determining the fair market lease rate for a multipurpose / common-user terminal

- Lease to be negotiated for a 10 year timeframe
- Current lease revenues were below historical levels
- Client's observations indicated that the property and terminal were way under-valued
- Client anticipated that tenant would resist increases
- Client was seeking technical support for negotiations
 - What is a fair market lease rate?
 - Ongoing negotiation support

CH2MHILL₈+

Key Facts

- Early 70's construction
- >100 Acres
- ~4,000-foot berth
- Competitive draft
- Containers
- Reefers
- Breakbulk
- RoRo
- On-dock warehousing
- On-dock rail



Negotiation Goals

Position client to negotiate a fair market lease that aligns with the terminal's valuation at an arms length transaction



Negotiation Goals

Key Success Factors

Knowledge of facility operations and infrastructure in marketplace

Utilize generally accepted practices

Robust quantitative analysis

Armed with data, and more data





Valuation Methods

Our approach utilized the three valuation methods of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice







Valuation Methods Income Approach

Estimates how much rent the operating business can sustain through development of a cash flow model



CH2MHILL + *Halcrow*

A CH2M HILL COMPANY

1

Key Data Inputs

- Traffic Projections
- Tariffs
- Capacity
- Capital Investments
- Operating Expenses

Key Outputs

- Free Cash Flow Before Lease Fees
- Net Present Value of Existing Business

Value of Approach

- Test impact of lease on the business
- Simulate range of leases under variable conditions
- Break even levels for minimum lease payments

Valuation Methods **Replacement Cost Approach**

Estimates rent based on recovering construction costs (adjusted for age), ongoing maintenance expenses, plus a return on capital

2

EXAMPLE

Calculation – Amortization Method							
EXAM	Years		\$ Mil				
New Construction Cost	50	\$	200.0				
Depreciation	40	\$	(160.0)				
Present Value		\$	40.0				
Expected Usefull Life with Maint.	50						
Amortized Present Value		\$	0.8				
Estimated Avg. Annual Capital Maint		\$	3.0				
Cost of Capital, Admin & Margin		\$	0.4				
Expected Annual Lease Rate		\$	4.2				

Calculation – Cap Rate Method

	\$ Mil
Present Value	\$ 40.0
Regional Industrial Cap Rates	6.0%
	\$ 2.40
Estimated Avg. Annual Capital Maint	\$ 3.0
Expected Annual Lease Rate	\$ 5.40

CH2M HILL COMPAN

CH2MHILL + *Halcrow*

Key Data Inputs Construction cost estimates Age & condition assessments Useful life estimates Long-term capital maintenance budget Cost of capital, admin costs and margin reqs. Local industrial real estate cap rates **Key Outputs**

Annual lease rate ranges

Value of Approach

- Benchmark against other valuation methods
- Compares with local industrial real estate market



Terminal	New Construction	Useful	Installation	C	Demoising Malue
Components	Cost	Life	Year	Current Age	Remaining value
Grading & Aggregate Sub-Base	5,731,742	100	1973	39	3,496,362
Asphalt Surface & Base Course	24,236,181	20	1973	39	-
CIP & PVC Drainage Pipe	791,452	60	1973	39	277,008
RCP Drainage Pipe	2,336,866	100	1973	39	1,425,488
Inlets/Catch Basins	476,862	40	1973	39	11,922
Below Ground Electrical Components	9,467,129	60	1995	17	6,784,776
Substations	3,273,344	50	1995	17	2,160,407
Lighting Equipment	2,289,154	40	1993	19	1,201,806
Reefer Plugs	1,595,292	20	1995	17	239,294
Cameras	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Potable Water/Fire Protection	1,673,984	60	1973	39	585,894
Sanitary Sewer & Lift Station	292,412	15	1973	39	-
Ancillary Elements	1,720,248	10	1970	42	-
Rail Improvements	5,522,864	50	1973	39	1,215,030
Refrigerated Warehouse Bldgs.	18,928,235	40	1998	14	12,303,353
Other Buildings	31,549,209	40	1975	37	2,366,191
Wharf & Apron Structure	149,211,951	60	1973	39	52,224,183
Fenders	1,544,847	15	1970	42	-
Dredging	75,954,830	100	1970	42	44,053,801
Total Civil Works	\$ 336,596,602				\$ 128,345,515
High Value @ +30%					\$ 166,849,170
Low Value @ -20%					\$ 102,676,412





Replacement Cost Method Applied Cap Rate Results

Civil Works Based Lease Ranges	
@ +30% Civo Works Value	\$ 11,012,045
Civil Works Lease Component @ 6.6% Cap. Rate	\$ 8,470,804
@ -20% Civil Works Value	\$ 6,776,643

Cap rate derived from recent bond interest rate, principal, & 50 bp margin

Some Options to Consider

- Recovery of ongoing maintenance expenses
- Indirect expenses
- Underlying land value

Fun Fact: Less than 5% of Pirates are Mariners.





Valuation Methods Comparables Approach

Compares leases at other facilities to provide the range of rates the market is currently paying

3

CH2MHILL + *Halcrow*

CH2M HILL COMPAN





Comparables Method Summary Approach





Comparables Method Results – Container Terminal Component

3

CH2MHILL + *Halcrow*

A CH2M HILL COMPANY

Container Terminal Component

						Location & Quality Adjuted Results				
Terminal Name	Location	Est	. Gross Rent	Location Index Factor	Quality Index Factor	E: Re	st. Gross ent/Acre		Est. Gross Rent/TEU Capacity	Est. Gross Rent/Lift
Terminal 1	Near Local	\$	15,312,865	0.82	0.96	\$	64,461	\$	15.76	\$ 25.19
Terminal 2	Near Local	\$	35,612,996	0.82	0.96	\$	62,999	\$	7.44	\$ 23.81
Terminal 3	Near Local	\$	15,265,431	0.82	0.96	\$	57,224	\$	12.02	\$ 21.41
Terminal 4	Near Local	\$	16,247,856	0.82	0.96	\$	36,544	\$	6.09	\$ 15.46
Terminal 5	Local	\$	1,264,963	1.43	0.96	\$	62,918	\$	24.81	\$ 83.49
Terminal 6	Local	\$	1,654,740	1.43	0.96	\$	60,739	\$	28.40	\$ 54.61
Terminal 7	Near Local	\$	9,016,610	0.90	0.94	\$	26,859	\$	7.63	\$ 20.62
Terminal 8	Distant	\$	4,015,944	0.68	0.94	\$	71,246	\$	18.34	\$ 31.91
Terminal 9	Distant	\$	4,237,978	0.68	0.94	\$	69,140	\$	16.93	\$ 32.31
Terminal 10	Distant	\$	10,634,420	0.68	0.96	\$	97,338	\$	17.80	\$ 34.71
Terminal 11	Distant	\$	10,918,331	0.68	0.96	\$	109,654	\$	16.97	\$ 32.67
Maximum Level						\$	109,654	\$	28.40	\$ 83.49
Mean of Comp Data Set						\$	65,375	\$	15.65	\$ 34.20
Minimum Level						\$	26,859	\$	6.09	\$ 15.46

Mean values were 4 – 5 times higher than subject's values



Comparables Method Results - Warehouse and breakbulk components

Observed & Adjusted Temperature Controlled Space Market Values		Subject Temp. ^S Cont.Warehous	uggested Temperature Controlled Space
	PSF	e Space (sq-ft)	Lease Ranges
High Value	\$10.47	125,000	\$ 1,308,615
Mean Value	\$8.10	125,000	\$ 1,012,203
Low Value	\$7.19	125,000	\$ 898,197
Observed Dry Warehouse Space Market Values		Subject Adjusted Dry Warehouse Space (sg-ft)	Suggested Dry Warehouse Space
	PSF		Lease Ranges
High Value	\$5.01	150,000	\$ 751,500
Mean	\$4.55	150,000	\$ 682,500
Low	\$3.86	150,000	\$ 579,000
Breakbulk Terminal Mar	rket Values	Subject Break	Suggested Breakbulk Value
	Lease	bulk Tons	Lease Ranges
	Cost/Ton		
High Value	\$9.78	200,000.0	\$ 1,955,017
Mean	\$6.31	200,000.0	\$ 1,262,963
Low	\$3.20	200,000.0	\$ 640,347





Comparables Method Aggregated results

Aggregated Suggested Lease Ranges

Combined Suggested Lease Ranges for Subject	Acreage Method	Capacity Method	Volume Method
Container Terminal Component	\$ 6,900,000	\$ 6,100,000	\$ 5,100,000
Temperature Controlled Warehouse Space	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
Dry Warehouse Space	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000
Break bulk Terminal Component	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 1,300,000
Gross Suggested Annual Lease Range	\$ 9,900,000	\$ 9,100,000	\$ 8,100,000
* Value Ranaes Rounded to nearest \$100 000			

The results of the comparables method closely aligned with the replacement cost method.





Closing the Initial Gap



Other Considerations

- Commercial risk assessment
- Fixed vs. Variable Rent Split
- Port vs. tenant investments
- Value of port offered services

Valuation Approach

A CH2M HILL COMPANY

CH2MHILL + *Halcrow*



Conclusions

- Successful lease negotiations requires homework
- Quantitative analysis is stronger than subjective opinion
- Using generally accepted valuation methods to form the baselines
 - Income approach: What can the underlying business sustain in terms of rent
 - Replacement Cost Approach: Industrial value assessment of residual assets
 - Comparables Approach: Apples to apples comparison of similar facilities
- In a perfect world, all three approaches should converge on a fair value
- Use your consultants

