Maximizing Success on Integrated Projects: An Owner's Guide

An Overview of the Research and Owner's Guide











Maximizing Success on Integrated Projects: An Owner's Guide

- Greg Gidez (co-chair), Hensel Phelps Construction Co.
- Mark Konchar (co-chair), Balfour Beatty Construction
- Howard W. Ashcraft, Esq., Hanson Bridgett LLP
- Spencer Brott, Trammell Crow Real Estate Services, Inc.
- Bill Dean, M.C. Dean, Inc.
- Tom Dyze, Walbridge
- Matthew Ellis, US Army Corps of Engineers
- Behzad Esmaeili, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
- Bryan Franz, University of Florida
- Diana Hoag, Xcelsi Group, LLC

- Mike Kenig, Holder Construction
- Robert Leicht, Penn State University
- Russell Manning, Department of Defense
- John Messner, Penn State University
- John Miller, Barchan Foundation, Inc.
- Keith Molenaar, Univ. of Colorado
- Brendan Robinson, U.S. Architect of the Capitol
- Victor Sanvido, Southland Industries
- Ronald Smith, Kaiser Permanente
- David P. Thorman, Former California State Architect



Research Motivation

To improve owner delivery decisions by providing practical guidance based upon empirical evidence

1998 CII/Penn State Study of 351 projects

Metric	D-B vs. D-B-B	D-B vs. CM@R
Unit Cost	6.1% lower	4.5% lower
Construction Speed	12.0% faster	7.0% faster
Delivery Speed	33.5% faster	23.5% faster
Cost Growth	5.2% less	12.6% less
Schedule Growth	11.4% less	2.2% less





Research Motivation

To improve owner delivery decisions by providing practical guidance based upon empirical evidence

	1998 CII RT 133	2015 CPF-CII
Question	How do project delivery methods impact performance?	How does the level of integration impact project delivery success?
Scope	Delivery – DBB, CMR and DB	Delivery, procurement, contracting, behaviors and environment
Findings	 ✓ DB was faster than DBB and CMR ✓ Cost and schedule growth were highest for DBB 	 ✓ Combined contracts were faster than split contracts ✓ Cost and quality were driven by procurement and contracting





Summary of Findings

Best performing delivery strategies maximize

- 1. Early involvement of the core team
- 2. Qualification-based team selection
- 3. Transparency in cost accounting



Project Data Characteristics

Facility Sizes

(4%)	8	<i>■</i> > 700,000 ft ²
(3%)	7	
(2%)	3	
(3%)	6	
(7%)	15	3 00,000 - 399,000 ft ²
(13%)	26	200,000 - 299,000 ft ²
(24%)	49	100,000 - 199,000 ft ²
(44%)	90	0 - 99,000 ft ²





127 (62%) Public: **Private:** 77 (38%)

Completed: 2008 - 2013

Facility Types

204 Projects

Educational	56	(27%)
Office	41	(20%)
Health Care	32	(16%)
Lodging	27	(13%)
Commercial	20	(10%)
Sports & Recreation	11	(5%)
Manufacturing	11	(5%)
Correctional 🥏	4	(2%)
Transportation 🥏	2	(1%)





Goal: Determine if team processes and behaviors have an impact on project performance





Integration





Integration

Degree to which team members from separate organizations and disciplines are engaged in collaborative activities

- Participation in
 - Joint Goal Setting
 - Cross Disciplinary design charrettes
 - BIM Execution Planning
- Increased sharing of information and analysis through BIM
- Increased team interaction through colocation

Higher levels of integration led to:

- Reduced *schedule growth*
- Enabled *more intense schedules*
- Led to *more cohesive teams*





Group Cohesion

Degree to which team, as individuals, have shared, task commitment, group pride, and interpersonal alignment

- Commitment to shared goals
- High levels of team chemistry
- Communication is timely and effective

Higher group cohesiveness led to:

- Reduced *cost growth*
- Higher *system quality*
- Improved *turnover experience*





















Group Cohesion



































Delivery Strategy







- Reduced *cost growth*
- Improved turnover experience
- Higher system quality

The Owner's Guide

Pulling it all together

Best performing delivery strategies maximize

- 1. Early involvement of the core team
- Qualificationbased team selection
- 3. Transparency in cost accounting

Construction Engineering

INIVERSITY OF COLORADO BOULDER

vement e team onm



 \cap

Maximizing Successin Integrated Projects

An Owner's Guide

http://bim.psu.edu/delivery

Sponsored by the Charles Pankow Foundation and the Construction Industry Institute

Website: http://bim.psu.edu/delivery





The Process





Maximizing Success on Integrated Projects: An Owner's Guide

An Overview of the Research and Owner's Guide









