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Strategic Drivers
Shaping Port Opportunities
Global Economy and Trade Growth

- Global economy and country debt
  - 48 of 65 non-OECD countries rated by Moody’s hold junk bond status
  - Of 33 OECD countries, 11 have debt/GDP ratios of about 80% or more
  - Global infrastructure gap = $57 trillion by 2030
- Trade growth
  - Gap between GDP growth and trade growth narrowing

Projected TEU and GDP Growth

Carrier Strategy

- Demolitions not keeping pace with new-buildings
  - In 2016, scrapping capacity = 400K TEUs, but new capacity of 1.2 million TEUs entered market the same year
  - Maersk did not anticipate other carriers would follow its lead with ultra large container carriers

### Trade and Fleet Capacity Gap

![Graph showing trade growth and fleet growth comparing 2004 to 2017.]


### Maersk Fleet Capacity and Freight Rates, 2011-2015

![Graph showing total market freight rate per forty foot equivalent and total fleet capacity from 2011 to 2015.]

Carrier Strategies (cont.)

- New and larger alliances emerging, largely driven by excess capacity
- Of top 20 carriers, only 3 have not joined an alliance, with Maersk recently acquiring Hamburg Sud

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Formation</th>
<th>Q4 2009</th>
<th>Q1 2012</th>
<th>Q2 2015</th>
<th>Q2 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NWA</td>
<td>G6 Alliance</td>
<td>G6 Alliance</td>
<td>THE Alliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APL/NOL</td>
<td>APL/NOL</td>
<td>APL/NOL</td>
<td>MOL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOL</td>
<td>MOL</td>
<td>MOL</td>
<td>K-Line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMM</td>
<td>HMM</td>
<td>HMM</td>
<td>NYK Line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Alliance IV</td>
<td>Hapag-Lloyd</td>
<td>Hapag-Lloyd</td>
<td>Hapag-Lloyd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hapag-Lloyd</td>
<td>NYK Line</td>
<td>NYK Line</td>
<td>Yang Ming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYK</td>
<td>OOCL</td>
<td>OOCL</td>
<td>Ocean Alliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OOCL</td>
<td>CKYH</td>
<td>CKYHE</td>
<td>CMA CGM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKYH</td>
<td>Hanjin</td>
<td>Hanjin</td>
<td>COSCO CS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanjin</td>
<td>K-Line</td>
<td>K-Line</td>
<td>OOCL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Line</td>
<td>Yang Ming</td>
<td>Yang Ming</td>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang Ming</td>
<td>COSCO</td>
<td>COSCO</td>
<td>2M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSCO</td>
<td>MSC/CMA CGM</td>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td>MSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APL/NOL</td>
<td>APL/NOL</td>
<td>APL/NOL</td>
<td>MOL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOL</td>
<td>MOL</td>
<td>MOL</td>
<td>K-Line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMM</td>
<td>HMM</td>
<td>HMM</td>
<td>NYK Line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hapag-Lloyd</td>
<td>NYK Line</td>
<td>NYK Line</td>
<td>Hapag-Lloyd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYK</td>
<td>OOCL</td>
<td>OOCL</td>
<td>Ocean Alliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OOCL</td>
<td>CKYH</td>
<td>CKYHE</td>
<td>CMA CGM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKYH</td>
<td>Hanjin</td>
<td>Hanjin</td>
<td>COSCO CS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanjin</td>
<td>K-Line</td>
<td>K-Line</td>
<td>OOCL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Line</td>
<td>Yang Ming</td>
<td>Yang Ming</td>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang Ming</td>
<td>COSCO</td>
<td>COSCO</td>
<td>2M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSCO</td>
<td>MSC/CMA CGM</td>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td>MSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Notteboom, Theo, PortEconomics, Rounds of alliance formation in container shipping, May 2016, revised by Nathan Associates Inc. in accord with recent media reporting.
Disruptive Technologies Most Impactful on Supply Chain

• Supply chain managers challenged to increase freight velocity
• Obvious place to start – reduce idle time of assets
• Encouraged emergence of disruptive technologies for improving supply chain efficiency
  • Internet of Things
  • 3D Printing
Disruptive Technology 1: Internet of Things

• Reduces idle time of assets and freight

• Sensing and sense making
  • Enables supply chain managers to re-route trucks to avoid congestion points or avoid creating them, direct trucks to alternative routes or other pick-ups or deliveries until congestion dissipates;
  • Through predictive analytics, traffic managers weigh congestion likelihood and revise logarithms to stage freight movements and available assets
Disruptive Technology 2: 3D Printing

- Most attention given to benefits to manufacturing
  - Reduces raw material input waste associated with subtractive manufacturing
  - Reduces lead time for developing prototypes
  - Customizable
- Shortens supply chains – renewed emphasis on local manufacturing and distribution
- Assuming available 3D printing technologies today, estimated 15% of trade flows can be substituted with 3D printing

3D Printing Effect on Supply Chains

Traditional supply chain

Raw Material → Processing Raw Material → Components Manufacturing → Assembly → Distribution Warehouse → End Customer

Raw Material → Processing Raw Material → Components Manufacturing → Assembly → Distribution Warehouse → End Customer

Raw Material → Processing Raw Material → Components Manufacturing → Assembly → Distribution Warehouse → End Customer

Upstream

Downstream

3D Printing Effect on Supply Chains (cont.)

3D Printing Enabled Supply Chain

3D Printing – Shortening the Supply Chain

- Traditional Manufacturing Supply Chain

- Additive Manufacturing Supply Chain

Strategic Driver Implications

- Global GDP growth slowing
  - Countries enjoying GDP growth shift to purchases of services
  - Peak impact of trade agreements realized
  - Substitution of labor with capital
  - Population growth generally slowing, with strongest growth in urban areas
- Alliance rationalization efforts likely to result in fewer vessel calls/higher peak load volumes
- P3 likely to become more commonplace, out of necessity
  - Global infrastructure gap will generate investor competition
  - Ports must be able to develop bankable projects to secure 3P deals
- Competitiveness extends beyond port gates to market hinterlands
  - Sensitivity to time, cost, and reliability imperative for attracting customers/tenants
  - Supply chains likely to be impacted by emerging disruptive technologies
Strategic Enablers and Actions for Business Growth
Strategic Enablers for Business Growth

1. Improved Supply Chain Performance
2. Enhanced Business Capture Effort
3. Intensified Customer Focus and Advocacy
4. Sustainable Financial Performance
5. Organizational Agility and Responsiveness
Enabler 1. Improved Supply Chain Performance

**Actions**

1. Resident port authority knowledge of supply chain management
2. Engage in competitive intelligence gathering
   - Identify transport logistics chains (TLC) associated with relevant current and prospective markets
   - Measure TLC performance: time, cost, reliability, and variability
   - Mitigate chokepoints
3. Continuously monitor competitiveness
   - Reach out to customers, service providers, and govt. planning bodies
   - Develop internal procedures for collecting, monitoring, and reporting freight system performance
   - Distribute freight system performance results
4. Establish stakeholder collaboration
   - Conduct regular forums for exchanging views on freight system issues
   - Lead cooperative efforts to communicate and advocate for needed logistics chain improvements

Enabler 2. Actions for Intensifying Customer and Stakeholder Focus and Advocacy

1. Organize/advocate joint efforts to pursue policy changes and infrastructure improvements that enhance port and relevant supply chain performance

2. Organize strategic capture sessions with relevant partners to pursue leads

3. Play leadership role in leveraging government assistance and collaboration for improving hinterland transport systems – congestion mitigation

4. Institute “at your service” hotline to enable immediate response to customer and stakeholder concerns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>2013 Cost (millions $US)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>$1,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>New York/New Jersey</td>
<td>$1,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>$1,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>$498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>$422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>$330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>$316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>$304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>$303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>$256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>$242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Congestion ranks and costs from Dave Pierce and Dan Murray, Cost of Congestion to the Trucking Industry, American Transportation Research Institute (ATRI), April 2014, Appendix B, pp. 28-29.
Enabler 3. Enhanced Business Capture Effort

1. Develop internal process for conducting due diligence on prospective opportunities
2. Gather competitive intelligence on identified opportunities
   • Collect relevant market data
   • Identify potential customers/operators/investors
   • Devise call plan with strict adherence to follow-up
3. Assess internally terms and conditions that can be offered relative to rents, facilities, and services that support specific business capture opportunity
4. Prepare model term sheet setting forth material terms and conditions for investment to serve as a template for parties to provide details for final agreement
Enabler 4. Actions for Strong and Sustainable Financial Performance

1. Seek balanced risk in leases/operating agreements
   • Volume incentives
   • Minimum throughput guarantees
2. Seek to renegotiate contracts having archaic or non-market based terms/conditions
3. Advocate for public funding availability for transport logistics chain improvements
4. Engage in P3 contracts
5. Expand diversity of tenants/customers to mitigate market segment ebbs and flows
Enabler 5. Organizational Agility and Responsiveness

1. Reduce management span of control
2. Align business plans with strategic goals/growth strategy
3. Use ERP system to facilitate collection and performance analysis relative to business plan goals and targets
4. Build culture of collaboration -- make use of tiger teams
5. Enhance workforce motivation
   • Provide for continuing education and development and incorporate as part of career promotion criteria
   • Monitor compensation competitiveness to retain/attract high performers
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