#### USACE UPDATE FOR THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF PORT AUTHORITIES

Michael E. Ott, PE, PMP Chief, Navigation Branch U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Washington, D.C. 31 March 2020

### Agenda:

- HQ Navigation Team
- Budget/Appropriations
- Revolutionizing USACE
- Challenges
- Opportunities
- Questions











FY21 Budget is ~20% increase above FY20 Budget FY20 Budget is ~6.4% nominal\* increase above the FY19 Budget FY20 Work Plan is ~54% increase above the FY20 Budget FY20 Work Plan is ~9.3% increase above FY19 Work Plan

(\*FY20 Budget does not include FUSRAP funding. Therefore, the comparison is made by reducing the FY19 Budget (\$120M) for FUSRAP.)





\*Both charts reflects study like activities and sand mitigation in the account and business line in which it has historically been appropriated.



\*\*These numbers are subject to change and represent an estimation of the business line distribution at this time. The analysis is still underway and final numbers are not yet available.

### FY20 CW PROGRAM ADDITIONAL FUNDING = \$2,686,000,000 FUNDING POTS ONLY = \$2,534,364,000 NAVIGATION ONLY = \$1,536,509,000

INVESTIGATIONS		MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES	
FLOOD AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION	\$6,000,000	DREDGING	\$5,000,000
FLOOD CONTROL	\$4,000,000	FLOOD CONTROL	\$105,090,000
SHORE PROTECTION	\$4,000,000	OTHER AUTHORIZED PROJECT PURPOSES	\$50,000,000
NAVIGATION	\$7,000,000	TOTAL	\$160,090,000
COASTAL AND DEEP-DRAFT	\$6,000,000		
INLAND	\$9,750,000	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	
OTHER AUTHORIZED PROJECT PURPOSES	\$6,000,000	DONOR AND ENERGY PORTS	\$50,000,000
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION OR COMPLIANCE	\$17,600,000	NAVIGATION MAINTENANCE	\$40,156,000
TOTAL	\$60,350,000	DEEP-DRAFT HARBOR AND CHANNEL	\$532,500,000
		INLAND WATERWAYS	\$55,000,000
CONSTRUCTION		SMALL, REMOTE, OR SUBSISTENCE NAVIGATION	\$65,000,000
FLOOD AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION	\$150,000,000	OTHER AUTHORIZED PROJECT PURPOSES	\$85,000,000
FLOOD CONTROL	\$170,000,000	TOTAL	\$827,656,000
SHORE PROTECTION	\$50,165,000		
NAVIGATION	\$377,878,000	FUSRAP	\$200,000,000
INLAND WATERWAYS TRUST FUND REVENUES REGIONAL DREDGE DEMONSTRATION	\$75,575,000		
PROGRAM(NEW)	\$377,650,000		
OTHER AUTHORIZED PROJECT PURPOSES	\$85,000,000		
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION OR COMPLIANCE	\$100,000,000		
ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS	\$100,000,000		
TOTAL	\$1,486,268,000		



# FY20 NAVIGATION FUNDING OUTCOMES



**SUMMARY**: The Appropriations provides \$1.5 billion in additional funding for Navigation follows:

#### □ Investigations –

- Inland \$15.3 million to complete one and continue two ongoing PEDs; and, \$1.5 million to initiate one multi-purpose feasibility study (GIWW Coastal Resiliency Study, TX)
- Coastal: \$6 million to complete one feasibility; initiate two new feasibilities; and initiate two new PEDs.
- Construction \$831 million of which \$75.6 million is IWTF funds and \$377.7 million is for a Gulf Region Dredge demonstration program.
  - Inland Olmsted L&D, OH (\$63 million-15% IWTF); Kentucky L&D, KY (\$61 million 50% IWTF); Chickamauga Lock, TN (\$101.7 million – 35% IWTF); and fully funds remaining mitigation and recreation features of J.Bennett Johnston Waterway, LA (\$40.6 million)
  - Coastal Dredge Material Disposal and Sand Mitigation (\$43 million HMTF); New starts (\$48.1 million); initiates Mississippi River Ship Channel, LA deepening (\$85 million); initiates and completes Mobile Harbor, AL (\$274 million); completes Cedar Bayou, TX (\$32 million); and, continues Jacksonville Harbor, FL (\$57.4 million), Sault St. Marie (Replacement Lock), MI (\$50 million) and Sabine Neches Waterway, TX (\$16.6 million)
- OM \$742.7 million additional Navigation Operation and Maintenance funding
  - Inland \$80 million applied to 24 projects
  - Donor and Energy Transfer Ports \$50 million applied to 17 eligible projects
  - Small, Remote, or Subsistence Navigation \$65 million applied to 48 projects (HMTF)
  - Deep Draft \$546.5 million applied to 86 projects (HMTF)
  - Remaining Items \$1 million



Last Updated: 5 Mar 2020



#### USDA REPORT ON THE VALUE OF INLAND MARINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM





#### America's Heartland Requires a Reliable Transportation Corridor



Density of U.S. Corn and Soybean Production Areas in Proximity to the Navigable Waterways on the Mississippi River System Depicted in Map





### **CAPITAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY**

### AUTHORITY

- Title II, Subtitle A, Section 2002, (d) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (WRRDA 2014) required, in part, for the Secretary of the Army, "in coordination with the Users Board, to develop and submit to Congress a report describing a 20-year strategy for making capital investments on the inland and intracoastal waterways based on the application of objective, national project selection prioritization criteria"
- WRRDA 2014 also required: (4) STRATEGIC REVIEW AND UPDATE – "Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this subsection, and not less frequently than once every 5 years thereafter, the Secretary, in coordination with the Users Board shall (A) submit to Congress and make publically available a strategic review of the 20-year program, which shall identify and explain any changes to the project-specific recommendations contained in the previous 20-year program."



 Inland and Intracoastal Waterways Twenty-Year Capital Investment Strategy Report drafted in 2015 – published in March 2016.

 Strategic Review and Update -Inland and Intracoastal
 Waterways Twenty-Year
 Capital Investment Strategy
 Report – Goal is to have report
 drafted in 2019 and finalized in
 2020



### **CAPITAL INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITIES**



10





Attribute Weighting

### CAPITAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY – 2020 UPDATE METHODOLOGY



38%

17%

36%

	Category		Description	Tool			
	1	Ongoing	Construction	Weighted Analysis			
Categorize	2	New Con	struction Authorized	Weighted Analysis			
<b>J J J J</b>	3	Ongoing	Study	Weighted Analysis			
	4	Future Po	otential Projects	Operational Risk Exposure			
	Attrib	ute	Sub-Attribute				
	1 Economic						
			1.1 RBRCR (Remaining	Benefit to Remaining Cost			
			Ratio)				
	$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q})$		1.2 BCR (Benefit Cost R	Ratio)			
	2 reli oil) Condi	a ()					
Attribute Definition			2.1 Reliability				
			2 Condition				
	3 Lock Utiliz	ation					
			3. R updancy				
			3.2 Del 🔊				
			3.3 Lockage				
	4 National						
	Significance						
		A 44	. N				
V	Attribute	Attribut		Weight			
	1	Economi	C	9%			

2

3

4

**Reliability and Condition** 

National Significance

Lock Utilization



Category

### CAPITAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY – 2020 UPDATE CATEGORY 1 AND 2 RESULTS



12

<b>Priority</b>	Project Title	Project Location	<u>State</u>
1	Olmsted Locks and Dam	Ohio River	IL
2	Locks and Dams 2, 3, and 4, Monongahela River Navigation Project	Monongahela River	PA
3	Kentucky Lock Addition	Tennessee River	KY
4	Chickamauga Lock	Tennessee River	TN
<u>Priority</u>	Project Title	Project Location	<u>State</u>
1	Upper Mississippi River	Lock & Dam 25 (Mississippi	MO / IL
	Ecosystem Sustainability Pr (a) (NESP)	River)	
2	Three Rivers	MKARNS	
3	Upper Ohio Navigation Locks & Dans ments	Montgomery Locks and Dam	PA
4	Upper Mississippi River - Illinois Wate was byotom Navigation and	LaGrange Lock & Dam (Illinois	IL
	Ecosystem Sustainability Program (NESP)	Waterway)	
5	Calcasieu Lock	GIWW	
6	Upper Mississippi River - Illinois Waterway Systen	Lock & Dam 24 (Mississippi	MO / IL
	Ecosystem Sustainability Program (NESP)	River)	
7	Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Locks		LA
8	MKARNS 12 ft channel		
9	Upper Mississippi River - Illinois Waterway System Navigation and	Cock & Dam 22 (Mississippi	MO / IL
	Ecosystem Sustainability Program (NESP)	F er	
10	Upper Ohio Navigation Locks & Dams Improvements	Er D L/ Xs and Dam	PA
11	Upper Mississippi River - Illinois Waterway System Navigation and	Low Dr /21 (Mississippi	IL
	Ecosystem Sustainability Program (NESP)	River)	
12	Upper Mississippi River - Illinois Waterway System Navigation and	Peoria Lock & Dam (Illinois	MO
	Ecosystem Sustainability Program (NESP)	Waterway)	
13	Upper Ohio Navigation Locks & Dams Improvements	Dashields Locks and Dam	PA
14	Upper Mississippi River - Illinois Waterway System Navigation and	Lock & Dam 20 (Mississippi	MO
	Ecosystem Sustainability Program (NESP)	River)	
15	Thomas O'Brien Lock & Dam major rehabilitation	Illinois Waterway	IL
16	Bayou Sorrel Lock	GIWW	LA



ASSUMPTIONS:

 $\mathbb{O}$ 

### DRAFT SEQUENCING – LIMITED TO \$240 MILLION BALANCE



13

# DRAFT \$240M SCENARIO

\* Ongoing construction funding represents efficient funding profile

\* New project funding represents capability estimate for efficient design and construction.

\* Cost share is 50% Fed / 50% IWTF, except for Chickamauga in FY20 and Olmsted in all years.

\* Program funding starts at \$240M (\$120M Fed / \$120M IWTF)

\* IWTF revenue grows annually by 1.5%

\* IWTF minimum balance is \$20M. New construction will not start if IWTF balance would drop below \$20M.



NOTES: \* Total to construct projects shown is \$14.4B from 2020 to 2065.



### DRAFT SEQUENCING – LIMITED TO \$400 MILLION BALANCE



\* Total to construct projects shown

is \$10.6B from 2020 to 2044.

NOTES:

#### ASSUMPTIONS:

 Ongoing construction funding represents efficient funding profile.
 New project funding represents camability estimate for efficient design and construction.

### DRAFT \$400M SCENARIO

\* Program funding starts at \$400M and grows annually by 1.5%

Project Pro		Total Design Cost: Construction Cost:			\$ 49.9			\$ - \$	9.0	> 1/.2	> 12./	> 24.1	\$ 17.3	\$ 29.6	20.5	1.0 55.4	⇒ 38.1	ə 33.0	> 42.5	\$ 50.3	→ 23.8	2 -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Project Pro	Total	construction Cost:					6 FFF F 4	6 ADA 1 6		6 537.3	CT0 1	6 517.0	6 205 2	6 407 8	\$ 460.4	C ATT 7	6 504 6	6 536 3	6 457.2	6 472 7	C 700 F	6 533.3	6 346 6	C 370 F	\$ 254.1	6 121.2	£ 60.2
Project Pro				\$ 213.3	\$ 246.5	\$ 201.7	> >>>.>   ;	\$ 464.1 <b>\$</b>	557.2	\$ 527.2	\$ 056.1	\$ 517.0	\$ 395.2	\$ 407.1	1 2 400.4	\$ 455.7	⇒ 564.0	\$ 520.2	\$ 457.5	\$ 4/2./	\$ 708.5	\$ 523.2	\$ 340.0	\$ 3/0.5	\$ 254.1	\$ 121.5	\$ 09.2
	roject Description	Waterway	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030	TEOZYA	FY2032	FY2033	FY2034	FY2035	FY2036	FY2037	FY2038	FY 2039	FY2040	FY2041	FY2042	FY2043	FY2044
Olmsted	New locks and dam.	Ohio	38.0	25.8								C					0										
Lower Mon	New Lock	Monongahela	111.0								1	1				5	1										
Kentucky	New Lock	Tennessee	66.1	169.4	169.1	186.3	74.7				~	ノ				$\cap$				<u>^</u>							
Chickamauga	New Lock	Tennessee	94.5	78.3	79.4	95.4	39.1									$\sim$			\$								
NESP Upper Miss. River N L&D 25	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Mississippi		6.7	10.6	6.6	107.0	110.2	113.5	116.9	120.4	23.9			2					<b>V</b>							
Three Rivers	channel protection	MKARNS			5.3	5.5	68.4	82.1	84.5	10.8									7	Þ							
Upper Ohio Montgomery N L&D	New Lock / 600 ft / UO #1	Ohio		16.4	7.4	1.1	58.5	96.7	158.1	192.8	136.8	24.9						7									
NESP IWW LaGrange L&D N	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Illinois		7.7	13.3	10.9	85.7	88.2	90.9	93.6	96.4	31.9	)`				0										
Calcasieu Lock	lock bypass	GIWW				0,5	19.1	0.9	0.9	0.5						C	1										
L&D 24	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Mississippi		7.7	13.3	13.7	103.0	106.1	109.3	112.6	115.9	34.6															
Inner Harbor Navigation Canal (IHNC) Lock	New Lock	GIWW								$\circ$	129.2	340.5	243.2	250.5	216.7	171.8	104.4	74.8	41.7	34.7							
MKARNS 12' Channel	channel deepening	MKARNS		7	$\sim$				9.0	9.2	59.4	61.2	63.0	64.9	45,3	18.2											
L&D 22	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Mississippi	<b>~</b>	2					$\backslash$	8.0	12.7	7.8	89.0	91.7	94.4		100.2	28.5									
L&D	New Lock / 600 ft / UO #2	Ohio	$\langle$						*			16.8	17.3					178.7									
L&D 21	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Mississippi												10.4				147.6			161.3	46.6					
	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Illinois										$\backslash$			10.7				99.6		105.6	108.8	48.0				
L&D	New Lock / 600 ft / UO #3	Ohio				$\leq$						1				18.7	19.2	21.3	110.6	178.8	251.3	189.9	59.2				
L&D 20	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Mississippi			$\leq$													11.7	20.1		106.9	110.1	113.4	116.8	120.3	52.4	
TJ O'Brien	Major Rehabilitation	Illinois							0											6.6	83.4	6.6					
Bayou Sorrel Lock ner	ew lock	GIWW							$\Sigma$										22.4	23.1	23.8	61.2	126.1	259.7	133.7	68.9	69.2



### DRAFT SEQUENCING – ACCELERATED CONSTRUCTION TIMELINE



#### ASSUMPTIONS:

\* Ongoing construction funding represents efficient funding profile.

\* New project funding represents capability estimate

for efficient design and construction.

\* Program funding assumes sufficient funding to complete new projects in 10-years from 2024 to

2034.

### **DRAFT 10-YR CONSTRUCTION SCENARIO**

ction.																		
fficient funding to ars from 2024 to		Total Design Cost:	\$ -	\$ 30.8	\$ 44.6	\$ 67.1	\$ 65.7	\$ 75.5	\$ 76.6	\$ 49.4	\$ 22.8	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	ĺ
ars 1011 2024 to	Total	Construction Cost:	\$ 309.6	\$ 273.5	\$ 248.5	\$ 281.7	\$ 433.4	\$ 675.6	\$ 1,090.9	\$ 1,223.2	\$ 1,406.4	\$ 1,213.1	\$ 935.6	\$ 767.9	\$ 379.9	\$ 128.4	\$ 83.3	ĺ
Project	Project Description	Waterway	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	<ul> <li>FY2029</li> </ul>	FY2030	FY2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY2034	
Olmsted	New locks and dam.	Ohio	38.0	25.8				$\sim$				$\overline{2}$	/					ĺ
Lower Mon	New Lock	Monongahela	111.0				-	<b>D</b>				Y	-					İ
Kentucky	New Lock	Tennessee	66.1	169.4	169.1	186.3	74.3	•			7							t
Chickamauga	New Lock	Tennessee	94.5	78.3	79.4	95.4	39.1	l			Ľ							ł
NESP Upper Miss. River L&D 25	NetThLock / 1200ft / NESP	Mississippi		6.7	10.6	6.6	107.0	110.2	113,5	116.9	120.4	23.9		$\mathbf{\nabla}$				İ
Three Rivers	channel protection	MKARNS		<	5.3	5.5	68.4	82.1	84.5	10.8			1	7.				ĺ
Upper Ohio Montgomery L&D	New Lock / 600 ft / UO #1	Ohio		16.4	7.4	1.1	58.5	96.7	158.1	192.8	136.8	24,9	).					ĺ
NESP IWW LaGrange L&D	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Illinois		7.7	13.3	10.9	85,1	88,2	90.9	93.6	96.4	31.9						ĺ
Calcasieu Lock	lock bypass	GIWW					0.6	19.7	0.9	0.9	0.6							ĺ
NESP Upper Miss. River L&D 24	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Mississippi	11		8.0	13.7	18.1	106.1	109.3	112.6	115.9	119.4	35.7					ĺ
Inner Harbor Navigation Canal (IHNC) Lock	New Lock	GIWW				20		118.2	311.6	222.6	229.3	198.3	157.2	95.5	68.4	38.2	31.8	ĺ
MKARNS 12' Channel	channel deepening	MKARNS			1	8.2	8.4	54.3	56.0	57.7	59.4	41.5	16.6					ĺ
NESP Upper Miss. River L&D 22	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Mississippi			//	7.1	11.3	7.0	79.1	81.4	83.9	86.4	89.0	25.3				ĺ
Upper Ohio Emsworth L&D	New Lock / 600 ft / UO #2	Ohio			Ť	14.1	14.5	16.1	87.0	141.1	198.2	149.7	44.7					ĺ
NESP Upper Miss. River L&D 21	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Mississippi	~	(			8.	14.5	14.9	116.5	120.0	123.6	127.3	131.2	37.9			ĺ
NESP IWW Peoria L&D	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Illinois	Y	Ť			8.4	14.5	14.9	76.3	78.6	81.0	83.4	85.9	37.9			ĺ
Upper Ohio Dashields L&D	New Lock / 600 ft / UO #3	Ohio				$\boldsymbol{\wedge}$		14.7	15.2	16.8	87.3	141.2	198.4	149.9	46.7			ĺ
NESP Upper Miss. River L&D 20	New Lock / 1200ft / NESP	Mississippi						8.7	14.9	15.4	79.5	81.9	84.4	86.9	89.5	39.0		ĺ.
TJ O'Brien	Major Rehabilitation	Illinois			V.	•					5.1	63.9	5.1					İ
Bayou Sorrel Lock	new lock	GIWW			~				16.7	17.2	17.7	45.5	93.8	193.2	99.5	51.3	51.5	

NOTES: \* Total to construct projects shown is \$9.45B from 2020 to 2034.



### **INLAND WATERWAYS INITIATIVES**





- Synchronized maintenance
- System and Component Standardization



MA AU NO ADR Y JUNJUL G SEPOCT V DECIAN FEB R AP



#### Legend:

No planned impedance to traffic; unscheduled delays

Open possible.

Partial Closed during the day (typically 8-12 hours), and pass traffic at night. Typically

Closure partial closures also inlcude width/length restrictions.

Lock closed; no ability to pass



### INCREASED INVESTMENT = FEWER UNSCHEDULED CLOSURES



17

Lock Closures Main Chamber Mechanical Unavailable Hours (Events longer than 7 days)





### **COASTAL DREDGING CHALLENGE**



Recurring, adverse impacts to Federal commercial navigation projects are on-going nationwide

- Historic storm events increasing duration and intensity
  - Particularly in the Gulf Coast region
  - Significant shoaling
- Exceeds routine annual maintenance dredging
- Compounded by other work: port deepenings, shore restoration, supplemental, and private work

High demand for dredges

- Affects both pipeline and hopper
- Limits dredge availability for nationally significant dredging projects
- Impacts to acquisition process





### **GULF REGIONAL DEMONSTRATION**

	C	alenda	r No. 204
116TH CONGRESS Ist Session	SENATE	{	REPORT 116-102
ENERGY AND WA	FER DEVELOPMENT BILL, 2020	f APPRO	PRIATIONS
SEPTEM	BER 12, 2019Ordered to 1	be printed	
Mr. ALEXANDER, from	the Committee on Ap the following	propriatio	ns, submitted
	REPORT		

Key features of the program will explore innovative ways of executing dredging in a logical, sequenced manner, unconstrained by more traditional project-specific, account-specific, or single-year practices and seek efficiencies and cost savings by evaluating the region as a system to determine when combining work across multiple deep draft commercial navigation projects, across years, or across Construction and Operation and Maintenance accounts is appropriate. By including the Mississippi River Baton Rouge to the Gulf of Mexico (Southwest Pass) and other nearby Gulf Coast commercial navigation projects, the goals of the program are to include being more responsive to dredging demands within the region, while minimizing disruption to critical construction and maintenance dredging requirements enterprise-wide.



# CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR SCALING AND SEQUENCING REQUIREMENTS



20





### **REGIONAL DREDGE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM**







### WRDA 2016 SECTION 1122 BENEFICIAL USE PROJECT LIST



State	MSC	Project Name	RSM Funds for Planning	Estimated 1122 Federal Cost (over base plan)	Estimated Schedule	FY20 Estimated Funds
		Proposed for Recommendation				
CA	SPD	Restoring San Francisco Bay's Natural Infrastructure with Dredged Sediment: Strategic Placement	TBD	\$3,250,000	FY21-22	
н	POD	Haleiwa Small Boat Harbor Maintenance Dredging and Beach Restoration	\$446,000	\$4,500,000	FY22	
IL	LRD	Public Beach Protection Pilot in Four Illinois Coastal Communities	\$270,000	\$1,100,000	FY21Q3	
MS	SAD	Deer Island Lagoon Project – COMPLETED AS SUPPLEMENTAL	\$32,000	\$1,500,000	FY19	
NJ	NAD	Beneficial Use Placement Opportunities in the State of New Jersey Using Navigation Channel Sediments: Barnegat Inlet	\$350,000	\$1,500,000	FY20-FY21	\$1,500,000
PR	SAD	Condado Lagoon	\$246,000	\$2,400,000	FY21	\$100,000
SC	SAD	Crab Bank Seabird Sanctuary – CONTINUING AS CAP 204 PROJECT	CAP	\$232,000	FY20Q1	
тх	SWD	Hickory Cove Marsh Restoration and Living Shoreline	\$408,000	\$9,700,000	FY21/22	
WA	NWD	Grays Harbor South Jetty Sand Placement Pilot Project	\$388,000	\$3,700,000	FY22	
wi	MVD	Mississippi River Upper Pool 4, Pierce County Islands and Head of Lake Pepin Backwater Complex - Beneficial Use of Dredged Material	CAP	\$14,000,000	FY21	\$200,000
		Total Estimated Federal Cost	\$2,140,000*	\$40,150,000**		\$1,800,000



### **Selected Navigation Activities**



23

- ✓ Dredge Scheduling/Optimization/ Dredge Data Pilot
- Regional Dredge Contracting\*
  - ✓ SWP 2020
  - ✓ Demonstration Program
- USACE Reserve Fleet:
  - Dredge McFarland Recapitalization
  - ✓ Management and Operations Review
- ✓ Beneficial Use of Dredge Material
- Federal Standard
- Capital Investment Strategy
- Subchapter M Implementation



## **THANK YOU**



